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Objectives

Recognize the importance of poorly controlled IBD as a general risk factor for infection in children with IBD

Understand the relationship between potency of immunosuppression and risk of infection in children with IBD

Recognize the manifestations of the most common opportunistic infections in immunosuppressed children with IBD

CDC categories of immunocompromised patients

1. Persons who are severely immunocompromised not as a result of HIV infection.

2. Persons with HIV infection

1. Persons with conditions that cause limited immune deficits (conditions include hyposplenism and renal failure, among others.)

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR 1993;42

Definition of opportunistic infection:

"a serious and usually progressive infection by a micro-organism that has--

• limited (or no) pathogenic capacity under ordinary circumstances
• able to cause serious disease as a result of the predisposing effect of another disease or of its treatment".

SYMMERS. 1965.

1. Persons who are severely immunocompromised not as a result of HIV infection

Severe immunosuppression can be the result of-

• congenital immunodeficiency
• leukaemia, lymphoma, generalised malignancy or therapy with alkylating agents
• antimetabolites
• Radiation
• large doses of corticosteroids (2 mg/kg body weight, or 20 mg/day of prednisolone)

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR 1993;42
ECCO statements throughout this talk will be on a black background.

European evidence-based Consensus on the prevention, diagnosis and management of opportunistic infections in inflammatory bowel disease.

Journal of Crohn’s and Colitis (2009)

Outline

1. Know the most important risk factors for infection in IBD patients, and apply one strategy to mitigate them.
2. Recognize Epstein-Barr virus infection and anticipate progression to severe disease.
3. Implement a clinical approach to CMV and severe ulcerative colitis.
4. Develop an approach to vaccinating children against varicella with IBD.
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The most important risk factors are--

1. Potency of immune suppression.
2. Disease severity.
4. Old age-- >600 months
5. Duration of disease.

What are the risk factors for opportunistic infection?
Cases-- patients with IBD and infection.
Controls-- patients with IBD and no infection.
Caveat: study period before widespread use of infliximab
Opportunistic infection definition

1. Viral (e.g., cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr, herpes simplex, and varicella zoster)
2. Fungal (e.g., histoplasmosis, candidiasis, and blastomycosis)
3. Bacterial (e.g., tuberculosis and streptococcal) infections

Tourner et al., 2008

Types of infections in IBD patients: herpes viruses and candida made up ~90% of infections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any medications</td>
<td>3.9 (2.2-6.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesalamine</td>
<td>1 (0.6-1.6)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticoids</td>
<td>3.3 (1.8-6.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiopurines</td>
<td>3.8 (2.6-7.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>4.0 (3.4-4.5)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab</td>
<td>4.4 (1.1-1.7)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjusted for age at first clinic visit

Tourner et al., 2008

Risk of opportunistic infection increases with increased number of immunosuppressives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of immunosuppressive meds</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>2.9 (1.5-5.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or three</td>
<td>14.5 (4.9-43)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combinations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No meds</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticoids only</td>
<td>2.2 (1.0-4.9)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiopurines only</td>
<td>3.4 (1.5-7.5)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab only</td>
<td>11.1 (0.8-148)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiopurines + glucocorticoids</td>
<td>17.5 (5.4-68)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiopurines + infliximab</td>
<td>1.6 (1.1-19)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiopurines + infliximab + glucocorticoids</td>
<td>Infinite*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjusted for age at first clinic visit

*5 patients receiving three immunosuppressives developed infection. There were no controls receiving three immunosuppressives.

Tourner et al., 2008

The Crohn’s Therapy, Resource, Evaluation, and Assessment Tool (TREAT)

Cohort study of 6290 patients participating in registry.

Followed over time.

Determined the rate of serious infection and its risk factors.

Lichtenstein et al. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2006
Severe disease, potency of immune suppression are risk factors for infection in Crohn disease patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>.67 (.39–1.16)</td>
<td>.54 (.31–.94)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of disease</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01–1.05)</td>
<td>1.02 (1.01–1.04)</td>
<td>.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe disease at baseline</td>
<td>3.15 (1.74–5.71)</td>
<td>2.11 (1.10–4.05)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>2.65 (1.80–3.91)</td>
<td>2.21 (1.46–3.34)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppressors</td>
<td>.96 (.66–1.42)</td>
<td>0.78 (.52–1.18)</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab use</td>
<td>1.49 (1.01-2.19)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.64–1.54)</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcotic use</td>
<td>3.27 (2.19-4.87)</td>
<td>2.38 (1.56–3.63)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lichtenstein et al. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2006

Infliximab use not associated with increased risk of serious infection after controlling for other factors.
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab use</td>
<td>1.49 (1.01-2.19)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.64–1.54)</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcotic use</td>
<td>3.27 (2.19-4.87)</td>
<td>2.38 (1.56–3.63)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lichtenstein et al. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2006

Factors associated with increased risk of opportunistic infection.

Severe disease
Potency of immunosuppression.

Malnutrition

But....
These are collinear.

Case #1.
A 12 year old is referred to you for management of Crohn disease.

He is malnourished, on 30 mg of prednisone, infliximab every 6 weeks at 10 mg/kg, and azathioprine.

He continues to have abdominal pain, vomiting and is missing school.
How can we minimize the risk of infection in this patient?

An aggressive systematic search for why he is NOT responding to therapy.

Patient isn’t responding—wrong therapy or wrong disease?
Remember: C³IS
1. Does this patient have inflammatory Crohn disease?
2. Does this patient have celiac disease?
3. Does this patient have C. difficile or another intestinal infection?
4. Does this patient have irritable bowel syndrome?
5. Does this patient have a stricture?

C³IS
You elect to perform an EGD and colonoscopy.

Endoscopy results

EGD is normal
Colonoscopy is normal
He has no perianal disease
Except…you are unable to intubate the TI. And you’re good.
So, you order a MR enterography.

This patient has an ileal stricture

You refer the patient for small bowel resection.
He had an tight stricture with multiple small fistulae.
You are able to wean glucocorticoids.
But…now you have to decide what to do to prevent recurrence.

Immunosuppressives are hammers—make sure you’re hitting a nail.

Assure symptoms are due to inflammatory disease.

Use the endoscope.

Noninvasive biomarkers?
• eg. fecal calprotectin, it may cost ~$500, may not be covered, and your patient may be sent to collections if they don’t pay the bill (true story).
**Case #2.**

A 12 year old boy with stable Crohn disease receiving an immunomodulator develops a fever and sore throat.

He has mild splenomegaly, several palpable lymph nodes in his posterior cervical chain.

Labs: AST, ALT are 75 and 50.

Atypical lymphocytes on peripheral smear

His symptoms resolve after 4 days.

---

**Case #2– alternate story.**

A 12 year old boy with stable Crohn disease receiving an immunomodulator develops a fever and sore throat.

He is febrile and ill-appearing. With a diffuse follicular rash.

He has hepatosplenomegaly, several palpable lymph nodes in his posterior cervical chain.

Labs: AST, ALT are 550 and 300. He has thrombocytopenia and leukopenia.

---

**Case #2–alternate story.**

Biopsy of skin rash demonstrates abnormal NK cell infiltrate

A bone marrow shows erythrophagocytosis.

Flow cytometry of the marrow aspirate shows NK cell lymphoma.

EBV serology is positive.

All biopsies are positive for EBV cells.

---

**Objectives**

1. Know the most important risk factors for infection in IBD patients, and apply one strategy to mitigate them.

   1. Recognize Epstein-Barr virus infection and anticipate progression to severe disease.

2. Implement a clinical approach to CMV and severe ulcerative colitis.

3. Develop an approach to vaccinating children against varicella with IBD.

---

**ECCO statement**

Screening for latent or subclinical EBV infection or chemoprophylaxis before onset of immunomodulator therapy is not recommended [EL2a, RG B].

In severe clinical EBV infection during immunomodulator therapy, antiviral therapy should be initiated and immunomodulator therapy discontinued [EL4, RG D].

In the event of EBV-related lymphoma during immunomodulator therapy, immunomodulators should be stopped.

In case of absent spontaneous regression or progression of lymphoma after interruption of immunomodulators chemotherapy should be considered [EL4, RGD].

---

As pediatricians we must be sophisticated about “severe” EBV disease.
Young age and potency of immune suppression are risk factors for severe EBV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Relative Risk (95%CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tacrolimus</td>
<td>3.1 (1.2-7.9)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age*</td>
<td>0.86 (0.75-0.98)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Age at transplantation (continuous)

Guthery et al. Transplantation. 2002

Proportion of patients seropositive to EBV increases with increasing age

What is severe EBV?

Primary infection with EBV in the setting of immune suppression represents the most important risk factor for severe EBV.

EBV is acquired with increasing age.

What is severe EBV?

Severe EBV: the clinical manifestations of uncontrolled lymphoproliferation.

For immune suppressed IBD patients—best thought of in the context as EBV-PTLD.
Pathogenesis EBV Infections

Slide courtesy T. Gross, MD, PhD

**EBV**
(Saliva)

Viral replication (Cell Lysis)

EBV infected B-cell
(Waldeyer’s Ring)

Disseminate to Lymphoid Tissue (Liver, Spleen, Bone Marrow, Lymph node)

Latently infected Resting B-cell

EBV - CTL

Absence of a T-cell response to EBV leading to uncontrolled lymphoproliferation.

Normal response to EBV infection or reactivation.

Probably, but rarely, relevant to IBD immune suppressed patients.

Absence of a signal to turn off T-cell response to EBV leading to uncontrolled T-cell activation.

Probably not relevant to immune suppressed patients.
**Immune Balance of EBV Infections**

**Normal Host**

- EBV Infected B-cells: 1/10,000 - 1/100,000
- EBV-CTL: 1-5/100


---

**Immune Imbalance of EBV Infections**

- EBV Infected B-cells: 2/100
- EBV-CTL: Insufficient Quantity

Yang et al, Blood 95:241, 2000

---

**PTLD - Clinical Presentations**

- Infectious Mononucleosis (IM)- like PTLD - presents with tonsillar hypertrophy, hepatitis, cervical adenopathy
- Lymphomatous - masses (nodal or often extranodal)
- Septic-like or Fulminant PTLD - wide spread disease (fever, hypotension, hepatic dysfunction, pneumonitis)

---

**PTLD - WHO Classification**

(Harris, et al Semin Diag Pathol 14:8, 1997)

- Early lesions
  - Reactive plasmacytic hyperplasia
  - Infectious mononucleosis-like
- Polymorphic PTLD
- Monomorphic PTLD
  - B cell neoplasms (DLBCL, Burkitt, myeloma)
  - T cell neoplasms
    - Can be difficult to distinguish from F-PTLD
- Hodgkin Lymphoma and HL-like PTLD
  - Classical HL phenotype – CD45 (-), CD15(+) vs. Polymorphic B-cell PTLD – CD45 (+), CD15(-)

---

**Case #3**

A 14 yo female with known ulcerative colitis develops fever while on prednisone 40 mg daily and balsalzide 4.5 grams per day.

She has diarrhea with blood that awakens her from sleep, cannot attend school, and has severe pain.

Her PUCAI is 75=severe colitis

She is hospitalized.

---

**Case #3.**

After 5 days of intravenous glucocorticoids and cessation of aminosaliclyates her PUCAI is 70.

You elect to either enhance her immune suppression.

You perform a colonoscopy.
She has diffuse continuous colitis, from the rectum to cecum, mild friability in the TI. Biopsies show this.
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2. Recognize Epstein-Barr virus infection and anticipate progression to severe disease.
3. Implement a clinical approach to CMV and severe ulcerative colitis.
4. Develop an approach to vaccinating children against varicella with IBD.

ECCO statement OI 4A
Screening for a latent or subclinical CMV infection is not necessary before starting immunomodulator therapy [EL2, RG B]. Latent or subclinical CMV infection is no contraindication for an immunomodulator therapy [EL2, RG B]. CMV colitis should be excluded, preferably by tissue PCR or immunohistochemistry, in immunomodulatory refractory cases of IBD before increasing immunomodulator therapy [EL3, RG C]. In case of severe colitis with CMV detected in the mucosa during immunomodulator therapy, antiviral therapy should be initiated and discontinuation of immunomodulators considered until colitis symptoms improve. In case of systemic CMV, infection immunomodulator therapy must be discontinued [EL2, RG B].

CMV infection vs. CMV disease
CMV infection: detectable virus.
CMV disease: end-organ damage due to infection.

Ulcerative colitis and CMV:
CMV is found in 20-40% of colonic biopsy specimens from severe UC. In some studies, in patients with severe UC, CMV clears spontaneously without antiviral therapy. Unclear if treating CMV disease alters course of severe UC.
No randomized trials of antivirals in patients with severe UC and CMV.

Until more clarity exists….
Goal of finding CMV is to avoid enhancing immunosuppression in a patient with untreated tissue-invasive CMV disease.
ECCO guidelines are reasonable.
1. No need to screen asymptomatic patients.
2. Look for CMV prior to enhancing immune suppression.
3. Colonic biopsy tissues specimens are preferable.
4. Consider stopping immune suppression in severe colonic CMV.
5. Stop immune suppression in systemic CMV infection.
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Case #4

A newly diagnosed 10 year old boy with autoimmune hepatitis and ulcerative colitis requires immunosuppression.

His mother thinks he received the varicella vaccine.

Titers are obtained.

HAV nonimmune
HBV: HBsAgAb is positive, HBsAg is negative.
VZV: titers are negative.

Hepatitis A vaccine current recommendations.

All children at age 1 year (i.e., 12–23 months).

Children and adolescents ages 2–18 who live in states or communities where routine Hepatitis A vaccination has been implemented because of high disease incidence.

Persons who have chronic liver disease

http://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/HAV/HAVfaq.htm#vaccine

Approach to vaccinating varicella non-immune children.

Summary

Risk of opportunistic infection is increased in severe IBD, malnutrition, potent immune suppression.

These risks may be mitigated by treating malnutrition and ensuring immune suppression is being used to treat inflammatory disease.

Severe EBV is manifested by uncontrolled lymphoproliferation—most effective therapy is stopping immune suppression.

CMV should be excluded in severe UC patients prior to enhancing immune suppression to prevent worsening CMV.

Consider vaccinating against VZV using enteral therapy prior to instituting immune suppression.

Don't forget hepatitis A vaccine—especially in patients with chronic liver disease.
End here.