Portal Hypertension in Children: Expert Pediatric Opinion on the Report of the Baveno V Consensus Workshop on Methodology of Diagnosis and Therapy in Portal Hypertension

Shneider BL, Bosch J, de Franchis R, Emre SH, Groszmann RJ, Ling SC, Lorenz JM, Squires RH, Superina RA, Thompson AE, Mazariegos GV. Portal Hypertension in Children: Expert Pediatric Opinion on the Report of the Baveno V Consensus Workshop on Methodology of Diagnosis and Therapy in Portal Hypertension.

Abstract: Complications of portal hypertension in children lead to significant morbidity and are a leading indication for consideration of liver transplantation. Approaches to the management of sequelae of portal hypertension are well described for adults and evidence-based approaches have been summarized in numerous meta-analyses and conferences. In contrast, there is a paucity of data to guide the management of complications of portal hypertension in children. An international panel of experts was convened on April 8, 2011 at The Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh of UPMC to review and adapt the recent report of the Baveno V Consensus Workshop on the Methodology of Diagnosis and Therapy in Portal Hypertension to the care of children. The opinions of that expert panel are reported.

Benjamin L. Shneider¹, Jaime Bosch², Roberto de Franchis³, Sukru H. Emre⁴, Roberto J. Groszmann⁴, Simon C. Ling⁵, Jonathan M. Lorenz⁶, Robert H. Squires¹, Riccardo A. Superina⁷, Ann E. Thompson¹ and George V. Mazariegos¹

¹Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh of UPMC, Pittsburgh, PA, USA, ²Hospital Clinic-IDIBAPS and Centro de Investigacion Biomedica en Red en Enfermedades Hepaticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd), Barcelona, Spain, ³L. Sacco University Hospital, Milan, Italy, ⁴Yale New Haven Hospital, New Haven, CT, USA, ⁵The Hospital for Sick Children and the Department of Paediatrics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, ⁶University of Chicago Hospital, Chicago, IL, USA, ⁷Children's Memorial Hospital, Chicago, IL, USA

Benjamin Shneider, Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh of UPMC, Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, 4401 Penn Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15224, USA

Tel.: +1 412 692 5412 Fax: +1 412 692 8906 E-mail: benjamin.shneider@chp.edu

Accepted for publication 27 December 2011

Portal hypertension and its attendant complications remain a cause of significant morbidity and mortality. There has been continuous advancement in the understanding of the pathophysiology and optimal means for the management of portal hypertension in adults. These advances have been captured in the publications derived from the Baveno meetings, the most recent of which took place in May 2010 (1). The Baveno statements have been primarily focused on adults. Limits in the scope of evidence-based approaches to the management of portal hypertension in children have precluded the development of similarly rigorous guidelines for pediatrics. In light of this limitation, expert commentary had been prepared based upon the Baveno IV statements (2, 3). On April 8, 2011, at The Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh of UPMC, a group of experts reviewed and revised the Baveno V statement and developed a pediatric-specific commentary (Reprinted from The Journal of Hepatology. Volume 53 pages 762-768, 2011 with permission from Elsevier). A reference list at the

Abbreviations: EHPVO, extrahepatic portal vein obstruction; EST, endoscopic sclerotherapy; EVL, endoscopic variceal ligation; HVPG, hepatic venous pressure gradient; NSBB, nonselective beta blockers; PTFE, polytetrafluorethylene; TIPS, transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunting.

end of this article provides key recent publications to the issues addressed in these guidelines. Given the very limited number of randomized trials in pediatric liver disease, these guidelines are not graded on the basis of type of evidence. Most of the statements are expert opinion or are derived from case series or cohorts.

Preamble

The following revisions pertain primarily to prepubescent children, where physiologic parameters are most distinct from those found in adults. For adolescents, clinicians should use their judgment in applying these revised guidelines or guidelines that have been derived primarily for adults.

Definition of key events regarding the bleeding episode

Baveno V definitions and criteria for failure to control bleeding

- These definitions have rarely been utilized in the pediatric literature; it would be valuable to employ them in future clinical descriptions of acute variceal hemorrhage.
- The time frame for the acute bleeding episode should be 120 h (five days).
- Failure to control the acute bleeding episode is defined as death (from any cause) or need to change therapy defined by one of the following criteria:
 - o Fresh hematemesis or nasogastric aspiration of ≥2 mL/kg or 100 mL of fresh blood ≥2 h after the start of specific drug treatment or therapeutic endoscopy.
 - Development of hypovolemic shock.
 - Three gram drop in Hgb (9% drop of Hct) within any 24 h period after the initial resuscitation if no transfusion is administered. This time frame needs to be further validated.
- The potential value of an index of blood transfusion requires prospective validation and characterization in pediatrics based upon prospective analysis of changes in blood indices in response to standard transfusion practices.

Baveno V definitions and criteria for failure of secondary prophylaxis:

- Failure to prevent rebleeding is defined as a single episode of clinically significant rebleeding from portal hypertensive sources after day 5.
- Clinically significant rebleeding is defined as: recurrent melena or hematemesis resulting in any of the following:

- Hospital admission.
- Blood transfusion.
- Three gram drop in Hgb.
- Death (from any cause) within six wk.
- Death from variceal hemorrhage in children is defined as any death occurring within six wk of variceal hemorrhage independent of the specific immediate cause – it does not need to be directly related to exsanguination.

Therapeutic options in patients with portal hypertension

Preprimary prophylaxis (prevention of the formation of varices)

Background

- Prevention of the development of complications of portal hypertension is an important area of research in adults, and similar studies in children should await further information from adults.
- Hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG) ≥ 10 mmHg is predictive of varices formation and decompensation of cirrhosis in adults. Published data on HVPG measurement in children are very limited, but suggest that there may be similar pressure thresholds for the development of complications in the pediatric population (4).

Recommendations for management

- Children with clinical evidence of portal hypertension should only be screened by surveillance endoscopy if they are candidates for primary prophylaxis or for specific counseling related to lifestyle (see below).
- Those children who are likely to have portal hypertension and to be at risk of esophageal varices usually have thrombocytopenia and splenomegaly. If considering surveillance endoscopy, these variables (or a clinical prediction rule that includes spleen size, platelet count, and albumin) help to determine the likelihood of varices and to triage for endoscopy (5).
- Treatment for underlying liver disease may reduce portal hypertension and prevent its clinical complications.
- There is no indication, at this time, to use betablockers to prevent the formation of varices.

Areas requiring further study

• Basic mechanisms in the development and progression of portal hypertension.

- Careful prospective natural history data for the complications of portal hypertension.
- Non-invasive tests and clinical rules should be investigated as a means to help triage children for endoscopy to screen for esophageal varices.
- The impact of treating the underlying chronic liver disease in the development of varices and other portal hypertensive-related complications.

Prevention of the first bleeding episode

- The risk of bleeding and the efficacy of primary prophylactic therapy for children with varices have been inadequately quantified, and therefore, no overall recommendation for prophylactic treatment of children can be provided.
- Systems for endoscopic grading of varices in children have not been extensively validated, although inter-observer agreement for one system when used in children (kappa = 0.65) was similar to levels achieved in studies of adults (6).
- Little published data from children are available to determine the ability of endoscopic appearance of varices to predict future variceal bleeding. The risk of bleeding among children with biliary atresia is greater in the presence of Grade II or III esophageal varices, red marks on the varices, or gastric varices, although similar data for children with other liver diseases are lacking (7). Grading of varices in this analysis was defined according to Japanese Research Society for Portal Hypertension (8). Grade I was flattened by insufflation, while Grade II and III varices were not flattened by insufflation. Confluency differentiated Grade II from III, with Grade III being confluent around the circumference of the esophagus.
- Prophylactic therapy with non-selective betablockers (NSBB) or endoscopic variceal ligation (EVL) can be considered within the context of defined research protocols.
- Prophylactic therapy with EVL may be considered in selected children within defined clinical circumstances with ongoing evaluation of outcomes. Those clinical circumstances include conditions where the clinician feels the risk of mortality from first variceal hemorrhage is greater than that for children in general (e.g., when a child is not in reasonable proximity to medical care that can provide life-saving treatments for variceal hemorrhage).
- In general, primary prophylactic therapy with NSBB should be avoided in children while

evidence is awaited concerning appropriate dosing, efficacy, and safety.

• As a result of the unfavorable adverse effect profile of endoscopic sclerotherapy (EST), it is not indicated for primary prophylaxis.

Role of HVPG measurement

- HVPG measurements are feasible in pediatric patients. Measurements should be performed using similar guidelines as for adult patients in particular, these studies should only be performed by individuals with a complete understanding of the specific elements required for accurate measurements.
- Available data on HVPG measurements in the pediatric population are limited, but suggest that the pressure thresholds for the formation of varices and decompensation (development of ascites or/and variceal bleeding) in pediatric patients with cirrhosis are similar as for the adult population. Ongoing prospective analyses of the relationship of HVPG measurements in children and complications of portal hypertension are warranted.
- The panel was undecided as to whether HVPG measurements in children are sufficiently well characterized to support their use as part of specialized clinical practice or should still be considered as a research tool.
- In children with chronic liver disease, who require a liver biopsy for clinical indications, HVPG measurement can be completed at the same time and may provide important additional information as to prognosis of the underlying liver disease. In some circumstances (e.g., with severe coagulopathy or ascites), a transvenous approach to liver biopsy is safer than a percutaneous approach.
- The use of HVPG measurements in patients with biliary atresia may be limited by the frequent presence of veno-venous communications, which may lead to an underestimation of portal pressure (4).

Treatment for acute bleeding from varices

Blood volume restitution

• The goal of resuscitation is to preserve tissue perfusion. Volume restitution should be initiated to restore and maintain hemodynamic stability. Particular attention should be given to persistent tachycardia as an indicator of compensated shock. Central venous oxygen saturation and venous lactate can be useful markers of adequate tissue perfusion.

- Packed red blood cell transfusion should be provided conservatively with a target hemoglobin level between 7 and 8 g/dL (9), although transfusion policy in individual patients should also consider other factors such as comorbidities (particularly underlying lung disease or cyanotic congenital heart disease), age, hemodynamic status, and ongoing bleeding.
- Comprehensive recommendations regarding the management of coagulopathy and thrombocytopenia cannot be made on the basis of currently available data. However, the following recommendations do apply:
 - PT/INR is not a reliable indicator of the coagulation status/bleeding risk in patients with cirrhosis.
 - Evidence to support the correction of PT/INR in acute variceal hemorrhage is lacking. During an acute, hemodynamically significant hemorrhage, both red cells and plasma are lost. Providing plasma/clotting factor support in the setting of an acute hemorrhage is advised in the context of general supportive care. However, the goal should not be to "normalize" or "correct" the clotting abnormality as the risk of fluid overload with its associated consequences (brain edema, pulmonary edema, recurrent variceal bleeding) is great.
 - Vitamin K deficiency, related in particular to cholestatic liver disease, should be corrected if present.
 - Administration of platelet concentrates should be considered in cases of profound thrombocytopenia (i.e., <20 000).
 - Use of recombinant Factor VIIa has not been shown to be effective in treatment for adults with variceal hemorrhage (10) and cannot be recommended for use in children with acute variceal hemorrhage.
- These recommendations apply to the management of hemorrhage from varices and not to the management of children with advanced liver disease undergoing invasive therapeutic procedures.

Antibiotic prophylaxis

• The frequency of known or suspected bacterial infections in children with cirrhosis presenting with upper gastrointestinal bleeding is unknown.

- Retrospective and/or prospective analyses of the prevalence of bacterial infection in children with variceal hemorrhage need to be performed to determine whether empiric antibiotic therapy is warranted in children.
- A high index of suspicion for bacterial infection in acute variceal hemorrhage should be maintained, thereby permitting timely institution of antibacterial therapies.

Prevention of hepatic encephalopathy

• Recommendations regarding prevention of encephalopathy that may follow upper GI bleeding beyond those used in children with cirrhosis cannot be made on the basis of currently available data.

Assessment of prognosis

• Research studies are required to define factors that predict treatment failure and mortality following variceal hemorrhage in children.

Timing of endoscopy

• Patients with GI bleeding and features suggesting portal hypertension should have upper endoscopy as soon as possible after admission (within 24 h) and after the child is hemodynamically stable (as documented above).

Pharmacological treatment

- In suspected variceal bleeding, vasoactive drugs should be started as soon as possible and before endoscopy is performed.
- Vasoactive drugs (terlipressin, somatostatin, octreotide, vapreotide, vasopressin ± nitroglycerine) should be used in combination with endoscopic therapy and continued for up to five days.

Endoscopic treatment

- Endoscopic therapy is recommended in any patient who presents with documented upper GI bleeding and in whom esophageal varices are the cause of bleeding.
- EVL is the recommended form of endoscopic therapy for acute esophageal variceal bleeding.

• EST is the recommended form of endoscopic therapy for acute esophageal variceal bleeding for infants and in children in whom ligation is technically difficult.

Use of balloon tamponade

• Balloon tamponade is very rarely indicated and should only be used in massive bleeding as a temporary "bridge" until definitive treatment can be instituted (for a maximum of 24 h, preferably in an intensive care facility by trained physicians and nurses).

Management of treatment failures

- Rebleeding during the first five days may be managed by a second attempt at endoscopic therapy.
- Persistent bleeding despite combined pharmacological and endoscopic therapy is best managed by transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunting (TIPS) with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)-covered stents.
- In infants and small children, uncovered rather than PTFE-covered stents may be the only size-appropriate equipment available for TIPS, despite the fact that concerns exist about the long-term maintenance of TIPS patency.
- Failure of TIPS or lack of local expertise in TIPS in small children necessitates consideration of emergent portosystemic shunting.

Management of gastric variceal bleeding

- Evidence for the management of gastric variceal bleeding in children is limited to case reports and uncontrolled case series, and it is therefore not possible to make evidence-based recommendations. These case reports and clinical experience suggest that the following interventions may be appropriate:
 - Endoscopic therapy with tissue adhesive (e.g., N-butyl-cyanoacrylate or N-butyl-2cyanoacrylate plus methacyloxysulfolane) may be considered for acute bleeding from isolated gastric varices and for gastroesophageal varices type 2.
 - Balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration has been used for isolated gastric fundal varices, although evidence is lacking for its efficacy and safety in children.

• TIPS and/or portosystemic shunt therapy may be considered as an alternative approach to treating bleeding gastric varices.

Areas requiring further study

- Comprehensive studies of acute variceal hemorrhage are urgently needed in pediatrics. Areas for either prospective or careful retrospective investigation include:
 - Clinical course including hemodynamic, biochemical, and hematologic parameters.
 - Analysis of responses to various forms of vasoactive therapy.
 - Determination of prognostic markers that would identify high risk bleeding episodes where early TIPS might be advantageous.
 - Prospective analysis of infectious risks and potential role of antibiotic prophylaxis.
 - Prospective investigation of responses to standardized transfusion practices with target goals for transfusion at 7–8 g/dL of Hgb.
 - Definition and characterization of a transfusion index (e.g., ABRI) in children with variceal hemorrhage with a particular focus on expected responses to transfusions with a determined policy of transfusion.

Prevention of rebleeding

Patients with cirrhosis

- EVL is the preferred therapy for secondary prophylaxis of esophageal variceal bleeding in children with cirrhosis (11). There are insufficient data on the use of NSBB in children after variceal bleeding to recommend its use as either monotherapy or as an adjunct to EVL.
- EVL should be performed every two to four wk for up to five sessions to eradicate varices after a first variceal bleed. Failure to eradicate varices should lead to consideration of an alternative therapeutic approach.
- There is a need to investigate hemodynamic response to NSBB or other drug therapies in children to determine whether these drugs affect rebleeding risk and survival.

Patients with cirrhosis who cannot be treated with EVL

• EST is recommended for secondary prophylaxis of esophageal variceal bleeding in infants and small children in whom EVL is not possible.

- In children unable or unwilling to be treated with EVL or EST, the use of NSBB may be considered, although evidence for appropriate dosing is lacking. There are no data that show that NSBB reduce HVPG in children with cirrhosis. The safety of treating infants and young children with NSBB has not been adequately studied, especially with regard to their greater reliance on tachycardia to compensate for hypovolemia during a major bleeding episode.
- If NSBB are felt to be necessary, confirmation of therapeutic response by HVPG measurement is desirable.
- There is inadequate evidence to recommend the use of isosorbide mononitrate in children after variceal hemorrhage.

Patients who fail endoscopic treatment for the prevention of rebleeding

- Surgical portosystemic shunting is effective, and the preferred option in a child who is predicted to have a good overall prognosis in the ensuing five yr (e.g., a child with biliary atresia and a total serum bilirubin level <4 mg/dL).
- TIPS may be a long-term alternative in children with a good overall prognosis when surgical shunting is not feasible or when there are medical complications that increase the risk of surgical shunting. In these cases, all efforts should be undertaken to use PTFE-covered stents. TIPS may be used in children with a poorer near-term prognosis as a bridge to transplantation.
- Liver transplantation provides good long-term outcomes in appropriate candidates and should be considered for some children with variceal hemorrhage that is unresponsive to endoscopic therapy, especially those with decompensated liver disease.
- Liver transplantation may be considered as primary therapy in patients with variceal bleeding with coexisting indications for transplant (i.e., hepatopulmonary syndrome, hepatopulmonary hypertension, concern for coexisting hepatic malignancy) or a liver disease with a particularly unpredictable clinical course where near-term decompensation has a high likelihood.

Extrahepatic portal vein obstruction (EHPVO)

Preamble

The prior discussion of the management of portal hypertension is primarily relevant to children

with liver disease that results in cirrhosis and subsequent portal hypertension. Some but not all of these concepts are applicable to EHPVO. In particular, the approaches to secondary prophylaxis of variceal hemorrhage may differ for EHPVO and are delineated in subsequent sections of this expert opinion.

Definition and etiology

- EHPVO is defined by the obstruction of the extrahepatic portal vein with or without the involvement of the intrahepatic portal veins and does not include isolated thrombosis of the splenic vein.
- EHPVO may include occlusion of the splenic, superior mesenteric, and coronary veins.
- The term EHPVO implies chronicity and refers primarily to a long-standing condition characterized by the replacement of the normal portal vein with cavernous transformation.
- Recent thrombosis of the portal vein may be referred to as acute portal vein thrombosis.
- EHPVO in children is generally considered to be a form of non-cirrhotic non-malignant portal hypertension. If either cirrhosis and/or malignancy is a complicating factor, there are important implications for treatment, which may be distinct from the following guidelines.
- EHPVO is a heterogeneous entity with regard to causes and pathogenesis.
- Hypercoagulable conditions may play an important role in the etiology and should be excluded using assays that are not impacted by diminished portal perfusion of the liver (e.g., genetic testing is preferred over functional assays based upon proteins synthesized in the liver).

Diagnosis

- EHPVO is diagnosed by Doppler US, CT, or MRI, which demonstrate portal vein obstruction, presence of intraluminal material, or portal vein cavernoma.
- The state of the other abdominal veins can also be determined by CT or MRI, thus facilitating planning for any future intervention. The patency of the intrahepatic portal vein may be demonstrated in this way, but when uncertainty persists, the gold standard test of transjugular retrograde or percutaneous transhepatic portal venography should be undertaken.
- Diagnosis of underlying conditions:
 - Full hypercoagulability panel including genetic factors

- Liver biopsy is absolutely necessary if there is a suggestion of intrinsic liver disease
- Echocardiography may be useful to rule out congenital heart disease and to look for evidence of associated hepatopulmonary syndrome or portopulmonary hypertension
- Acute portal vein thrombosis can be assumed when patients present with symptoms such as abdominal pain, ascites, or fever in the absence of portal cavernoma and portosystemic collaterals. Patients also can be asymptomatic.

Natural history

- The incidence and natural history of chronic EHPVO are incompletely characterized
- Most children develop hypersplenism that triggers a more detailed medical assessment.
- One-third to one half of children present with sudden onset of upper gastrointestinal bleeding with no prior history of GI disorders or hypersplenism and the age of the onset of symptoms varies greatly.
- In the great majority of patients, some morbidity can eventually be expected, some of it severe (12).
- Morbidity is mainly related to variceal bleeding, hypersplenism, limitations of quality of life (e.g., limited ability to participate in sports owing to extreme thrombocytopenia and/or splenomegaly), recurrent thrombosis, growth retardation, neurocognitive impairment, and symptomatic portal biliopathy.

Treatment: chronic EHPVO: anticoagulation

- Anticoagulation therapy can be considered for patients with a well-documented prothrom-botic state.
- In most patients with idiopathic chronic EHPVO, where there is no well-documented prothrombotic state, there is no role for anti-coagulant therapy.
- There is insufficient evidence in favor of interventional therapy such as local throm-bolysis.

Treatment for chronic EHPVO: use of the meso-Rex bypass procedure

- The meso-Rex bypass procedure should only be performed by individuals with significant experience with hepatobiliary surgery in children.
- Successful application of the meso-Rex bypass procedure represents a physiologic repair of EHPVO, with the expectation of prevention of

all of the known complications of EHPVO (13).

- It is surgically feasible in most children with EHPVO even with poor or non-visualization of the intrahepatic portal vein on routine pre-operative CT or MR angiography.
- Available data suggest that the restoration of appropriate portal venous flow to the liver after meso-Rex bypass is inversely related to the age of the patient, implying that early utilization may be advantageous (13).
- Controversy exists as to the appropriateness of utilization of this procedure in an asymptomatic child, including those who have not yet had a variceal bleed. One approach would suggest the assessment of the feasibility of surgery in all children with a cavernoma and features of portal hypertension. This approach could be pre-emptive for the development of complications. Another approach would defer surgery until there was clear and significant disease associated with EHPVO (see approaches to specific complications listed below).

Treatment for chronic EHPVO: bleeding

- For primary prophylaxis of variceal bleeding, there is insufficient evidence to support a clear recommendation for either NSBB or endoscopic therapy.
- Surveillance endoscopy is indicated to assist in decision making regarding prophylactic use of the meso-Rex bypass.
- Children with Grade II or III varices should be assessed as potential candidates for the meso-Rex bypass procedure. If meso-Rex bypass is not felt to be feasible, EVL is preferred in children who are at high risk of mortality from initial variceal hemorrhage. Primary prophylaxis with NSBB is not recommended owing to limited information as to efficacy and appropriate dosing regimens.
- For the control of acute variceal bleeding, endoscopic therapy is effective.
- Meso-Rex bypass is the preferred method for subsequent secondary prophylaxis of bleeding from gastroesophageal varices and portal gastropathy.
- When the meso-Rex bypass procedure is not feasible, secondary prophylaxis with EVL is safe and effective. There is a lack of data on the role of NSBB for secondary prophylaxis.
- Distal splenorenal shunting can be highly efficacious in the management of variceal hemorrhage from EHPVO. It is an alternative to meso-Rex bypass that should only be

implemented if a meso-Rex bypass is clearly shown to not be feasible, either owing to anatomic issues or the finding of no useable portal vein at the time of surgical exploration of the Rex recessus. Careful investigation for the complications of portosystemic shunting (e.g., hepatopulmonary syndrome, portopulmonary hypertension, and hepatic encephalopathy) is warranted prior to this surgical procedure. Presence of these complications may suggest the need for consideration of an alternative intervention, including liver transplantation.

• TIPS, while technically feasible in EHPVO, is rarely if ever indicated as a means of secondary prophylaxis for variceal hemorrhage from EHPVO. Placement of TIPS stents can prevent future successful meso-Rex bypass by permanently blocking access to the intrahepatic portal vein if it is open. If necessary, TIPS should include a detailed consideration of approaches to minimize the risk of negatively impacting upon the intrahepatic portal vein.

Portal biliopathy – diagnosis

- Portal biliopathy is the effect of EHPVO on the appearance of the intra- and extrahepatic bile ducts resulting in irregular dilatation of portions of the biliary tree.
- Portal biliopathy is present in a proportion of patients with EHPVO (14). In the majority, it is asymptomatic and demonstrated only on imaging. In some patients, portal biliopathy may cause cholestasis, biliary obstruction, or progressive biliary cirrhosis.
- CT scanning and MRCP are the first line of investigation.

Portal biliopathy – treatment

- Asymptomatic: No interventional or direct surgical treatment is recommended. Theoretically, reversal of the portal hypertension through the meso-Rex bypass should lead to the resolution of the portal biliopathy and prevent cholestasis and silent progression of fibrosis. Therefore, asymptomatic portal biliopathy is a potential indication for meso-Rex bypass.
- Symptomatic:
 - Decompressive portal hypertension surgery should be considered.
 - Children with EHPVO have a higher than normal incidence of cholelithiasis and cholecystitis.

- Symptomatic gallstones should be treated with cholecystectomy.
- Asymptomatic stones present at the time of any portal hypertension surgery should be removed to prevent future complications including meso-Rex thrombosis.
- Asymptomatic stones that develop after surgery should be observed and treated only if symptoms develop.
- Bile duct stones may be treated with endoscopic therapy.
- Common bile duct stricture: Endoscopic or percutaneous stenting can be considered. Portosystemic shunt surgery should be considered whenever possible. Hepaticojejunostomy could be considered if endoscopic measures are unsuccessful; however, it should not be attempted without decompressive shunt surgery.

Hypersplenism - treatment

- Hypersplenism with platelets <50 000 is a strong indication for meso-Rex bypass.
- Significant restrictions in physical activity imposed on a child because of the perceived increased risk of splenic rupture in conjunction with platelets of <100 000 is a strong indication for meso-Rex bypass.
- Splenectomy is not indicated, because it will not diminish probability of hemorrhage from varices and may remove the option of a distal splenorenal shunt as a future intervention. The only exception may be in the circumstance of coincident splenic vein thrombosis, where splenectomy may effectively treat left-sided portal hypertension.

Neurocognitive impairment suggestive of hepatic encephalopathy

• Neurocognitive testing suggestive of hepatic encephalopathy and increased blood ammonia levels are relative indications for meso-Rex bypass.

Growth impairment

- Somatic growth is dependent on intact hepatic function, which may be disrupted by EHPVO.
- Restoration of portal blood flow to the liver results in improved growth in children who demonstrate growth retardation.

• Therefore, growth retardation is a relative indication for meso-Rex bypass.

Portopulmonary hypertension and hepatopulmonary syndrome

- Periodic monitoring of upright transcutaneous oxygen saturation is indicated in routine followup of children with EHPVO, values persistently <97% should lead to further diagnostic testing (15).
- Hepatopulmonary syndrome can be diagnosed and quantified by agitated saline echocardiography and macroaggregated albumin nuclear scintigraphy (16).
- Portopulmonary hypertension is best characterized by cardiac catheterization.
- Portopulmonary hypertension and hepatopulmonary syndrome are absolute indication for the consideration of the meso-Rex bypass.
- Restoration of portal blood flow to the liver usually results in the reversal of these complications of portosystemic shunting.

Unresolved issues and future studies

- Prospective registry data on outcomes after meso-Rex bypass.
- Prospective data on the frequency and clinical profile of acute and chronic EHPVO.
- Natural history of EHPVO in children vs. adults.
- Case-control studies on frequency of prothrombotic states in EHPVO.

Concluding comments

The management of portal hypertension in children is challenging and requires careful assessment of risks and benefits of interventions often in the absence of evidence-based approaches. Extrapolation of approaches used in the care of adults may not be optimal for children. Nowhere is this difference more notable than in the management of EHPVO, where pre-emptive intervention with meso-Rex bypass may be a physiologic "cure" for portal hypertension. Important examples of differences in the approach to portal hypertension stemming from cirrhosis in children that evolved from this conference include (i) no general recommendation for surveillance endoscopy for varices or primary prophylaxis of variceal hemorrhage, (ii) reliance on endoscopic and not pharmacologic means of secondary prophylaxis of variceal hemorrhage, and (iii) increased potential utilization of portosystemic shunting in compensated cirrhosis. The future of evolving recommendations would be

enhanced by ongoing research into the pathophysiology, natural history, and management of portal hypertension in children.

References

- 1. DE FRANCHIS R. Revising consensus in portal hypertension: Report of the Baveno V consensus workshop on methodology of diagnosis and therapy in portal hypertension. J Hepatol 2010: 53: 762–768.
- SHNEIDER B, EMRE S, GROSZMANN R, et al. Expert pediatric opinion on the Report of the Baveno IV consensus workshop on methodology of diagnosis and therapy in portal hypertension. Pediatr Transplant 2006: 10: 893–907.
- 3. SUPERINA R, SHNEIDER B, EMRE S, SARIN S, DE VILLE DE GOYET J. Surgical guidelines for the management of extra-hepatic portal vein obstruction. Pediatr Transplant 2006: 10: 908–913.
- 4. MIRAGLIA R, LUCA A, MARUZZELLI L, et al. Measurement of hepatic vein pressure gradient in children with chronic liver diseases. J Hepatol 2010: 53: 624–629.
- GANA JC, TURNER D, ROBERTS EA, LING SC. Derivation of a clinical prediction rule for the noninvasive diagnosis of varices in children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2010: 50: 188–193.
- 6. GANA JC, TURNER D, MIELI-VERGANI G, et al. A clinical prediction rule and platelet count predict esophageal varices in children. Gastroenterology 2011: 141: 2009–2016.
- DUCHE M, DUCOT B, TOURNAY E, et al. Prognostic value of endoscopy in children with biliary atresia at risk for early development of varices and bleeding. Gastroenterology 2010: 139: 1952–1960.
- BEPPU K, INOKUCHI K, KOYANAGI N, et al. Prediction of variceal hemorrhage by esophageal endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 1981: 27: 213–218.
- LACROIX J, HEBERT PC, HUTCHISON JS, et al. Transfusion strategies for patients in pediatric intensive care units. N Engl J Med 2007: 356: 1609–1619.
- BOSCH J, THABUT D, ALBILLOS A, et al. Recombinant factor VIIa for variceal bleeding in patients with advanced cirrhosis: A randomized, controlled trial. Hepatology 2008: 47: 1604– 1614.
- ZARGAR SA, JAVID G, KHAN BA, et al. Endoscopic ligation compared with sclerotherapy for bleeding esophageal varices in children with extrahepatic portal venous obstruction. Hepatology 2002: 36: 666–672.
- 12. SARIN SK, AGARWAL SR. Extrahepatic portal vein obstruction. Semin Liver Dis 2002: 22: 43–58.
- SUPERINA R, BAMBINI DA, LOKAR J, RIGSBY C, WHITINGTON PF. Correction of extrahepatic portal vein thrombosis by the mesenteric to left portal vein bypass. Ann Surg 2006: 243: 515– 521.
- KHUROO MS, YATTOO GN, ZARGAR SA, et al. Biliary abnormalities associated with extrahepatic portal venous obstruction. Hepatology 1993: 17: 807–813.
- NOLI K, SOLOMON M, GOLDING F, CHARRON M, LING SC. Prevalence of hepatopulmonary syndrome in children. Pediatrics 2008: 121: e522–e527.
- ABRAMS GA, JAFFE CC, HOFFER PB, BINDER HJ, FALLON MB. Diagnostic utility of contrast echocardiography and lung perfusion scan in patients with hepatopulmonary syndrome. Gastroenterology 1995: 109: 1283–1288.

Additional relevant references

Surveillance and primary prophylaxis/secondary prophylaxis endoscopic

- 1. DUCHE M, DUCOT B, TOURNAY E, FABRE M, COHEN J, JACQUEMIN E, BERNARD O. Prognostic value of endoscopy in children with biliary atresia at risk for early development of varices and bleeding. Gastroenterology 2010: 139: 1952–1960.
- GANA JC, TURNER D, ROBERTS EA, LING SC. Derivation of a clinical prediction rule for the noninvasive diagnosis of varices in children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2010: 50: 188–193.
- 3. MAKSOUD-FILHO JG, GONCALVES ME, CARDOSO SR, GIBELLI NE, TANNURI U. Long-term follow-up of children with extrahepatic portal vein obstruction: impact of an endoscopic sclerotherapy program on bleeding episodes, hepatic function, hypersplenism, and mortality. J Pediatr Surg 2009: 44: 1877–1883.
- CHANG HK, PARK YJ, KOH H, KIM SM, CHUNG KS, OH JT, HAN SJ. Hepatic fibrosis scan for liver stiffness score measurement: a useful preendoscopic screening test for the detection of varices in postoperative patients with biliary atresia. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2009: 49: 323–328.
- RIVET C, ROBLES-MEDRANDA C, DUMORTIER J, LE GALL C, PONCHON T, LACHAUX A. Endoscopic treatment of gastroesophageal varices in young infants with cyanoacrylate glue: a pilot study. Gastrointest Endosc 2009: 69: 1034–1038.
- MCKIERNAN PJ, SHARIF K, GUPTE GL. The role of endoscopic ultrasound for evaluating portal hypertension in children being assessed for intestinal transplantation. Transplantation 2008: 86: 1470– 1473.
- ABD EL-HAMID N, TAYLOR RM, MARINELLO D, et al. Aetiology and management of extrahepatic portal vein obstruction in children: King's College Hospital experience. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2008: 47: 630–634.
- 8. HISAMATSU C, KAWASAKI R, YASUFUKU M, MAEDA K. Efficacy and safety of balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration for gastric fundal varices in children. Pediatr Surg Int 2008: 24: 1141–1144.
- 9. DUCHE M, HABES D, ROULLEAU P, HAAS V, JACQUE-MIN E, BERNARD O. Prophylactic endoscopic sclerotherapy of large esophagogastric varices in infants with biliary atresia. Gastrointest Endosc 2008: 67: 732–737.
- FAGUNDES ED, FERREIRA AR, ROQUETE ML, et al. Clinical and laboratory predictors of esophageal varices in children and adolescents with portal hypertension syndrome. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2008: 46: 178– 183.
- TAKAHASHI T, KOBAYASHI H, KUWATSURU R, LANE GJ, YAMATAKA A. Magnetic resonance angiography versus endoscopy for the assessment of gastroesophageal varices in biliary atresia. Pediatr Surg Int 2007: 23: 931– 934.
- PODDAR U, THAPA BR, RAO KL, SINGH K. Etiological spectrum of esophageal varices due to portal hypertension in Indian children: is it different from the West? J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2008: 23: 1354–1357.
- EL-RIFAI N, MENTION K, GUIMBER D, MICHAUD L, BOMAN F, TURCK D, GOTTRAND F. Gastropathy and gastritis in children with portal hypertension. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2007: 45: 137–140.
- MITSUNAGA T, YOSHIDA H, KOUCHI K, et al. Pediatric gastroesophageal varices: treatment strategy and longterm results. J Pediatr Surg 2006: 41: 1980–1983.

- ITHA S, YACHHA SK. Endoscopic outcome beyond esophageal variceal eradication in children with extrahepatic portal venous obstruction. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2006: 42: 196–200.
- 16. PODDAR U, THAPA BR, SINGH K. Band ligation plus sclerotherapy versus sclerotherapy alone in children with extrahepatic portal venous obstruction. J Clin Gastroenterol 2005: 39: 626–629.

Acute variceal hemorrhage

- BHATIA V, LODHA R. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Indian J Pediatr 2011: 78: 227–233.
- MOLLESTON JP. Variceal bleeding in children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2003: 37: 538–545.
- ARORA NK, GANGULY S, MATHUR P, AHUJA A, PATWARI A. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding: etiology and management. Indian J Pediatr 2002: 69: 155–168.
- BROWN JB, EMERICK KM, BROWN DL, WHITINGTON PF, ALONSO EM. Recombinant factor VIIa improves coagulopathy caused by liver failure. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2003: 37: 268–272.
- 21. MATHEW P, YOUNG G. Recombinant factor VIIa in paediatric bleeding disorders a 2006 review. Haemophilia 2006: 12: 457–472.
- 22. ZARGAR SA, JAVID G, KHAN BA, et al. Endoscopic ligation compared with sclerotherapy for bleeding esophageal varices in children with extrahepatic portal venous obstruction. Hepatology 2002: 36: 666–672.
- SIAFAKAS C, FOX VL, NURKO S. Use of octreotide for the treatment of severe gastrointestinal bleeding in children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1998: 26: 356–359.
- 24. LAM JC, ATERS S, TOBIAS JD. Initial experience with octreotide in the pediatric population. Am J Ther 2001: 8: 409–415.
- EROGLU Y, EMERICK KM, WHITINGON PF, ALONSO EM. Octreotide therapy for control of acute gastrointestinal bleeding in children. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2004: 38: 41–47.
- ZELLOS A, SCHWARZ KB. Efficacy of octreotide in children with chronic gastrointestinal bleeding. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2000: 30: 442–446.
- 27. TUGGLE DW, BENNETT KG, SCOTT J, TUNELL WP. Intravenous vasopressin and gastrointestinal hemorrhage in children. J Pediatr Surg 1988: 23: 627–629.
- ROUETTE J, TROTTIER H, DUCRUET T, BEAUNOYER M, LACROIX J, TUCCI M. Red blood cell transfusion threshold in postsurgical pediatric intensive care patients: a randomized clinical trial. Ann Surg 2010: 251: 421–427.
- 29. LACROIX J, HEBERT PC, HUTCHISON JS, et al. Transfusion strategies for patients in pediatric intensive care units. N Engl J Med 2007: 356: 1609–1619.
- 30. SINGER AL, OLTHOFF KM, KIM H, RAND E, ZAMIR G, SHAKED A. Role of plasmapheresis in the management of acute hepatic failure in children. Ann Surg 2001: 234: 418–424.
- NOVELLI G, ROSSI M, MORABITO V, et al. Pediatric acute liver failure with molecular adsorbent recirculating system treatment. Transplant Proc 2008: 40: 1921– 1924.
- 32. FERNANDEZ J, RUIZ DEL ARBOL L, GOMEZ C, et al. Norfloxacin vs ceftriaxone in the prophylaxis of infections in patients with advanced cirrhosis and hemorrhage. Gastroenterology 2006: 131: 1049–1056; quiz 1285.

- 33. SUPERINA RA, ALONSO EM. Medical and surgical management of portal hypertension in children. Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol 2006: 9: 432–443.
- MILETI E, ROSENTHAL P. Management of portal hypertension in children. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2011: 13: 10–16.
- 35. PARK A, CWIKIEL W. Emergent treatment of variceal bleeding in two infants. Acta Radiol 2008: 49: 951–954.
- PODDAR U, BHATNAGAR S, YACHHA SK. Endoscopic band ligation followed by sclerotherapy: Is it superior to sclerotherapy in children with extrahepatic portal venous obstruction? J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011: 26: 255–259.
- 37. TAKAHASHI T, YOSHIDA H, MAMADA Y, TANIAI N, TAJIRI T. Balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration for gastric varices in a child with extrahepatic portal venous obstruction. J Nippon Med Sch 2009: 76: 173–178.
- 38. YILMAZ D, KARAPINAR B, BALKAN C, AKISU M, KAV-AKLI K. Single-center experience: use of recombinant factor VIIa for acute life-threatening bleeding in children without congenital hemorrhagic disorder. Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2008: 25: 301–311.
- 39. ZHANG CQ, LIU FL, LIANG B, et al. A modified percutaneous transhepatic variceal embolization with 2-octyl cyanoacrylate versus endoscopic ligation in esophageal variceal bleeding management: randomized controlled trial. Dig Dis Sci 2008: 53: 2258–2267.
- TSOI KK, MA TK, SUNG JJ. Endoscopy for upper gastrointestinal bleeding: how urgent is it? Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009: 6: 463–469.
- HOU MC, LIN HC, LIU TT, KUO BI, LEE FY, CHANG FY, LEE SD. Antibiotic prophylaxis after endoscopic therapy prevents rebleeding in acute variceal hemorrhage: a randomized trial. Hepatology 2004: 39: 746–753.

HVPG in pediatrics

42. MIRAGLIA R, LUCA A, MARUZZELLI L, et al. Measurement of hepatic vein pressure gradient in children with chronic liver diseases. J Hepatol 2010: 53: 624–629.

Secondary prophylaxis - TIPPS

- 43. JOHNSON SP, LEYENDECKER JR, JOSEPH FB, JOSEPH AE, DIFFIN DC, DEVOID D, EASON J. Transjugular portosystemic shunts in pediatric patients awaiting liver transplantation. Transplantation 1996: 62: 1178–1181.
- 44. HEYMAN MB, LABERGE JM, SOMBERG KA, ROSENTHAL P, MUDGE C, RING EJ, SNYDER JD. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts (TIPS) in children. J Pediatr 1997: 131: 914–919.
- 45. HACKWORTH CA, LEEF JA, ROSENBLUM JD, WHITING-TON PF, MILLIS JM, ALONSO EM. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt creation in children: initial clinical experience. Radiology 1998: 206: 109–114.
- 46. HUPPERT PE, GOFFETTE P, ASTFALK W, et al. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts in children with biliary atresia. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2002: 25: 484–493.
- POZLER O, KRAJINA A, VANICEK H, HULEK P, ZIZKA J, MICHL A, ELIAS P. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt in five children with cystic fibrosis: longterm results. Hepatogastroenterology 2003: 50: 1111– 1114.
- 48. BARRIO J, RIPOLL C, BANARES R, et al. Comparison of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt dysfunc-

tion in PTFE-covered stent-grafts versus bare stents. Eur J Radiol 2005: 55: 120–124.

- 49. VAN HA TG, FUNAKI BS, EHRHARDT J, LORENZ J, CRONIN D, MILLIS JM, LEEF J. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt placement in liver transplant recipients: experiences with pediatric and adult patients. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2005: 184: 920–925.
- 50. MERMUYS K, MALEUX G, HEYE S, LOMBAERTS R, NE-VENS F. Use of the Viatorr expanded polytetrafluoroethylene-covered stent-graft for transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt creation in children: initial clinical experience. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2008: 31 Suppl 2: S192–196.
- 51. CLARK W, HERNANDEZ J, MCKEON B, et al. Surgical shunting versus transjugular intrahepatic portasystemic shunting for bleeding varices resulting from portal hypertension and cirrhosis: a meta-analysis. Am Surg 2010: 76: 857–864.
- 52. NAGRAL A, HASIJA RP, MARAR S, NABI F. Budd-Chiari syndrome in children: experience with therapeutic radiological intervention. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2010: 50: 74–78.

Secondary prophylaxis – nontransplant surgery

- 53. MOON SB, JUNG SE, HA JW, PARK KW, SEO JK, KIM WK. The usefulness of distal splenorenal shunt in children with portal hypertension for the treatment of severe thrombocytopenia and leukopenia. World J Surg 2008: 32: 483–487.
- 54. HASEGAWA T, TAMADA H, FUKUI Y, TANANO H, OKADA A. Distal splenorenal shunt with splenopancreatic disconnection for portal hypertension in biliary atresia. Pediatr Surg Int 1999: 15: 92–96.
- 55. SANTAMBROGIO R, OPOCHER E, COSTA M, BRUNO S, CERETTI AP, SPINA GP. Natural history of a randomized trial comparing distal spleno-renal shunt with endoscopic sclerotherapy in the prevention of variceal rebleeding: a lesson from the past. World J Gastroenterol 2006: 12: 6331–6338.
- 56. MAKSOUD JG, GONCALVES ME, PORTA G, MIURA I, VELHOTE MC. The endoscopic and surgical management of portal hypertension in children: analysis of 123 cases. J Pediatr Surg 1991: 26: 178–181.
- LILLEGARD JB, HANNA AM, MCKENZIE TJ, MOIR CR, ISHITANI MB, NAGORNEY DM. A single-institution review of portosystemic shunts in children: an ongoing discussion. HPB Surg 2010: 2010: 964597.
- BOTHA JF, CAMPOS BD, GRANT WJ, HORSLEN SP, SU-DAN DL, SHAW BW Jr, LANGNAS AN. Portosystemic shunts in children: a 15-year experience. J Am Coll Surg 2004: 199: 179–185.
- 59. ROBBERECHT E, VAN BIERVLIET S, VANRENTERGEM K, KERREMANS I. Outcome of total splenectomy with portosystemic shunt for massive splenomegaly and variceal bleeding in cystic fibrosis. J Pediatr Surg 2006: 41: 1561–1565.
- 60. EMRE S, DUGAN C, FRANKENBERG T, et al. Surgical portosystemic shunts and the Rex bypass in children: a single-centre experience. HPB (Oxford) 2009: 11: 252–257.

Secondary prophylaxis – liver transplantation

61. MELZI ML, KELLY DA, COLOMBO C, JARA P, MANZANARES J, COLLEDAN M, STRAZZABOSCO M, et al. Liver transplant in cystic fibrosis: a poll among European centers. A study from the European Liver Transplant Registry. Transpl Int 2006: 19: 726–731.

- 62. GOODING I, DONDOS V, GYI KM, HODSON M, WESTABY D. Variceal hemorrhage and cystic fibrosis: outcomes and implications for liver transplantation. Liver Transpl 2005: 11: 1522–1526.
- 63. JONAS MM. The role of liver transplantation in cystic fibrosis re-examined. Liver Transpl 2005: 11: 1463–1465.
- 64. NASH KL, COLLIER JD, FRENCH J, et al. Cystic fibrosis liver disease: to transplant or not to transplant? Am J Transplant 2008: 8: 162–169.
- MAGEE JC, KRISHNAN SM, BENFIELD MR, HSU DT, SHNEIDER BL. Pediatric transplantation in the United States, 1997–2006. Am J Transplant 2008: 8: 935– 945.
- 66. FARMER DG, VENICK RS, MCDIARMID SV, et al. Predictors of outcomes after pediatric liver transplantation: an analysis of more than 800 cases performed at a single institution. J Am Coll Surg 2007: 204: 904–914; discussion 914–906.
- 67. NG VL, FECTEAU A, SHEPHERD R, et al. Outcomes of 5-year survivors of pediatric liver transplantation: report on 461 children from a north american multicenter registry. Pediatrics 2008: 122: e1128–1135.
- 68. SOLTYS KA, MAZARIEGOS GV, SQUIRES RH, SINDHI RK, ANAND R. Late graft loss or death in pediatric liver transplantation: an analysis of the SPLIT database. Am J Transplant 2007: 7: 2165–2171.
- 69. DUFFY JP, KAO K, KO CY, et al. Long-term patient outcome and quality of life after liver transplantation: analysis of 20-year survivors. Ann Surg 2010: 252: 652–661.

Extrahepatic portal vein obstruction

- 70. DE VILLE DE GOYET J, GIBBS P, CLAPUYT P, REDING R, SOKAL EM, OTTE JB. Original extrahilar approach for hepatic portal revascularization and relief of extrahepatic portal hypertension related to later portal vein thrombosis after pediatric liver transplantation. Long term results. Transplantation 1996: 62: 71–75.
- 71. DE VILLE DE GOYET J, ALBERTI D, CLAPUYT P, et al. Direct bypassing of extrahepatic portal venous obstruction in children: a new technique for combined hepatic portal revascularization and treatment of extrahepatic portal hypertension. J Pediatr Surg 1998: 33: 597–601.
- 72. DE VILLE DE GOYET J, ALBERTI D, FALCHETTI D, et al. Treatment of extrahepatic portal hypertension in children by mesenteric-to-left portal vein bypass: a new physiological procedure. Eur J Surg 1999: 165: 777–781.
- 73. STENGER AM, MALAGO M, NOLKEMPER D, BROELSCH CE, BURDELSKI M, ROGIERS X. [Mesentericoportal Rex-shunt as a treatment for extrahepatic portal vein thrombosis]. Chirurg 1999: 70: 476–479.
- 74. BAMBINI DA, SUPERINA R, ALMOND PS, WHITINGTON PF, ALONSO E. Experience with the Rex shunt (mesenterico-left portal bypass) in children with extrahepatic portal hypertension. J Pediatr Surg 2000: 35: 13–18; discussion 18–19.
- 75. STENGER AM, BROERING DC, GUNDLACH M, et al. Extrahilar mesenterico-left portal shunt for portal vein thrombosis after liver transplantation. Transplant Proc 2001: 33: 1739–1741.
- 76. FUCHS J, WARMANN S, KARDORFF R, ROSENTHAL H, RODECK B, URE B, MELTER M. Mesenterico-left portal

vein bypass in children with congenital extrahepatic portal vein thrombosis: a unique curative approach. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2003: 36: 213–216.

- 77. GEHRKE I, JOHN P, BLUNDELL J, PEARSON L, WILLIAMS A, DE VILLE DE GOYET J. Meso-portal bypass in children with portal vein thrombosis: rapid increase of the intrahepatic portal venous flow after direct portal hepatic reperfusion. J Pediatr Surg 2003: 38: 1137–1140.
- MACK CL, SUPERINA RA, WHITINGTON PF. Surgical restoration of portal flow corrects procoagulant and anticoagulant deficiencies associated with extrahepatic portal vein thrombosis. J Pediatr 2003: 142: 197–199.
- 79. ATES O, HAKGUDER G, OLGUNER M, SECIL M, KARACA I, AKGUR FM. Mesenterico left portal bypass for variceal bleeding owing to extrahepatic portal hypertension caused by portal vein thrombosis. J Pediatr Surg 2006: 41: 1259–1263.
- CHIU B, SUPERINA RA. Encephalopathy caused by a splenorenal shunt can be reversed by performing a mesenteric-to-left portal vein bypass. J Pediatr Surg 2006: 41: 1177–1179.
- 81. DASGUPTA R, ROBERTS E, SUPERINA RA, KIM PC. Effectiveness of Rex shunt in the treatment of portal hypertension. J Pediatr Surg 2006: 41: 108–112; discussion 108–112.
- 82. MACK CL, ZELKO FA, LOKAR J, SUPERINA R, ALONSO EM, BLEI AT, Whitington PF. Surgically restoring portal blood flow to the liver in children with primary extrahepatic portal vein thrombosis improves fluid neurocognitive ability. Pediatrics 2006: 117: e405–412.
- 83. SUPERINA R, BAMBINI DA, LOKAR J, RIGSBY C, WHITINGTON PF. Correction of extrahepatic portal vein thrombosis by the mesenteric to left portal vein bypass. Ann Surg 2006: 243: 515–521.
- 84. CHARDOT C, DARANI A, DUBOIS R, MURE PY, PRACROS JP, LACHAUX A. Modified technique of meso-Rex shunt in case of insufficient length of the jugular vein graft. J Pediatr Surg 2009: 44: e9–12.
- 85. FACCIUTO ME, RODRIGUEZ-DAVALOS MI, SINGH MK, et al. Recanalized umbilical vein conduit for meso-Rex bypass in extrahepatic portal vein obstruction. Surgery 2009: 145: 406–410.
- LAUTZ TB, SUNDARAM SS, WHITINGTON PF, KEYS L, SUPERINA RA. Growth impairment in children with extrahepatic portal vein obstruction is improved by mesenterico-left portal vein bypass. J Pediatr Surg 2009: 44: 2067–2070.
- OO YH, OLLIFF S, HAYDON G, THORBURN D. Symptomatic portal biliopathy: a single centre experience from the UK. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009: 21: 206–213.
- MARUYAMA H, OKUGAWA H, ISHIBASHI H, TAKAHASHI M, KOBAYASHI S, YOSHIZUMI H, YOKOSUKA O. Carbon dioxide-based portography: an alternative to conventional imaging with the use of iodinated contrast medium. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010: 25: 1111–1116.
- 89. SHARIF K, MCKIERNAN P, DE VILLE DE GOYET J. Mesoportal bypass for extrahepatic portal vein obstruction in children: close to a cure for most! J Pediatr Surg 2010: 45: 272–276.
- 90. GIBELLI NE, TANNURI AC, PINHO-APEZZATO ML, MAKSOUD-FILHO JG, TANNURI U. Extrahepatic portal vein thrombosis after umbilical catheterization: is it a good choice for Rex shunt? J Pediatr Surg 2011: 46: 214–216.

Copyright of Pediatric Transplantation is the property of Wiley-Blackwell and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.