Acid Rather Than Nonacid Reflux Burden Is a Predictor of Tooth Erosion
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The relation between tooth erosion (TE) and gastroesophageal reflux in children has not been clearly established, and there are no studies to determine the relation with reflux height, nonacid reflux, and erosions. The aim of this study was to determine the relation between TE and acid and nonacid gastroesophageal refluxes measured using combined pH and multi-channel intraluminal impedance (pH-MII).

Methods: We conducted a prospective cohort study of children presenting for pH-MII testing. Once informed consent was obtained, patients completed questionnaires about their reflux symptoms and diet, and then underwent pH-MII catheter placement and a dental examination. The Keels-Coffield erosion index was used to score the extent and severity of TE. Reflux parameters of patients with and without TE were compared using Student t test and correlations were performed using Spearman correlations.

Results: A total of 27 patients participated in the study, all of whom were on acid suppression at the time of pH-MII testing. Of the 27 patients, 10 (37%) had TE. There were significant positive correlations between acid reflux episodes (r = 0.44, P = 0.02), the percentage of time that acid reflux was present in the distal esophagus (r = 0.44, P = 0.02), and reflux index (r = 0.54, P = 0.004) with the number of TE in a given patient. The percentage of time that acid reflux was present in the proximal esophagus was positively correlated with the number of teeth erosions per patient with borderline significance (r = 0.38, P = 0.05).

Conclusions: There was a positive correlation between acid reflux parameters and TE. Acid, rather than nonacid reflux, seems to have a significant role in the pathogenesis of TE.
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What Is Known

- The relation between gastroesophageal reflux disease and tooth erosion is not well understood.
- Gastroesophageal reflux in children is frequently nonacidic, and the relation between nonacid reflux and tooth erosion is unknown.

What Is New

- Tooth erosion was associated with acidic but not nonacid gastroesophageal reflux.
- Proximal acidic gastroesophageal reflux was associated with tooth erosion.
- Tooth erosion was noted in children on long-term acid suppression.

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a common problem in childhood, occurring in ~2% to 25% of the general pediatric population (1,2). GERD has been implicated in certain atypical or extraesophageal symptoms, such as chronic cough, asthma exacerbations, noncardiac chest pain, and tooth erosion (TE), although it has been debated as to whether these symptoms are caused by gastroesophageal reflux (GER) (3). The relation between GER and TE is particularly challenging to establish because tooth enamel damage occurs over a long period of time and is influenced by a number of factors. As a result, current methods of testing GER during a 24-hour period may not adequately capture the long-term oral environment. Furthermore, reliance on symptoms alone to diagnose reflux-related TE is inadequate because GERD is frequently silent.

Prior studies exploring the association of TE with GERD have utilized either questionnaires (4), physician diagnosis (5–7), or pH-metry (4,8,9) for the diagnosis of GERD. Although the majority of adult studies showed a positive correlation between GERD and TE, studies in children have had mixed results (4–6,8,10–12). Studies based on symptoms of GERD showed a positive correlation (5,6), whereas those based on pH-metry were equivocal (8). Symptoms of GERD in children are nonspecific, thus limiting the diagnostic yield of questionnaires (13). pH-metry, which is an objective tool for assessing pathological GER, does not detect nonacid reflux, which is very common in children (14). With the advent of combined pH and multichannel intraluminal impedance (pH-MII), which is now considered the criterion standard diagnostic technique for GERD, both acid and nonacid reflux episodes, and the height of reflux, can be measured (15–17). Data based on pH-MII studies suggest that nonacid reflux has a pathogenic role in extraesophageal symptoms, but whether it plays a role in the development of dental erosions is not known (18,19).
Some studies, however, have shown that fluid with a pH 4 to 5.5 may contribute to dental erosions, and detection of refluxate in this pH range is only possible with pH-MII testing (20,21). Furthermore, because 2-channel pH probes with a distal and proximal pH sensor are notoriously inaccurate, pH-MII studies must be performed to determine the relation between proximal reflux and dental erosions (22,23). Therefore, to address the current limitations in the literature, we performed this prospective study using pH-MII to determine the relation between full column, acid, and nonacid reflux episodes and TE.

METHODS

This was a prospective cohort study of children of age ≥3 years who were scheduled to undergo 24-hour pH-MII testing for suspected GERD. Children with significant neurological impairment were excluded. Once informed consent was obtained, the parents were asked to complete an extensive dental questionnaire, which included such items as history of dental procedures, bruxism, and dietary history. The subjects then underwent a mirror and explorer dental examination by a single dental provider (A.H.) who was blinded to their symptoms, indication, and results of the pH-MII study. Digital intraoral photographs were taken, and the examination, including details of attrition, erosions, dental caries, and restoration, was recorded on a standardized form. If TE was present, the location, severity, and the number of teeth affected were recorded. The severity of TE was then scored based on the Keels-Coffield index (Keels MA and Coffield KD, personal communication). The index classifies TE as follows: level 0/none (no erosions), level 1/mild (only the cusp tips are affected and shallow "moon craters" may be present), level 2/moderate (deep "moon craters" or depressions are present and may coalesce), and level 3/severe (teeth are slick with little or no anatomy present, and with possible pulpal exposures). Figure 1 illustrates these levels of erosion in a patient. Each subject was assigned an erosion level based on the most severe erosion in any 1 tooth. The total number of TE per patient was calculated and the number of maxillary, mandibular, anterior, and posterior TE. The patient then underwent pH-MII testing using external reference Sandhill Scientific (Denver, CO) pediatric and adult catheters (depending on the size of the patient). If the patient was on prior acid suppression, it was continued during the study, as was standard practice at our hospital at the time of the study. All of the subjects had a minimum of 20 hours of pH-MII recording (Sleuth Recording Device; Sandhill Scientific), with a minimum of 3 meals during the study period with instructions to avoid acidic foods including apple and orange juice and carbonated beverages.

A single gastroenterologist (R.R.), who was blinded to the results of the dental examination, reviewed the pH-MII studies. The studies were initially autoscanned using the BioVIEW Analysis software, version 5.3.4 (Sandhill Scientific) and reviewed manually by R.R. to delete any false positive and to identify reflux events missed by the analysis software. Reflux episodes were classified as acid, nonacid, or pH-only episodes. A reflux episode was defined as a retrograde drop in impedance by >50% of baseline in 2 distal channels. pH-only episodes were defined as episodes detected by the pH sensor only, with a drop in pH to <4 for >5 seconds. Full-column reflux was defined as an episode that reached the highest pair of impedance sensors. The bolus clearance time (BCT) was defined as the time from drop in impedance to 50% of its baseline value to recovery to baseline value in the distal most impedance sensor (16). The percentage of time that reflux was in the proximal esophagus was defined as the cumulative BCT of the proximal extent of each reflux episode divided by the total study duration. The percentage of time that reflux was in the distal esophagus was defined as the cumulative BCT of the distal channel for each reflux episode divided by the total study duration. The reflux index was defined as the percentage of time with pH <4 (24). Abnormal acid exposure was defined as reflux index >6% (25). Abnormal impedance was defined as the total number of reflux episodes of ≥73 (26).

The institutional review board of the Boston Children's Hospital approved the study and all of the patients/parents signed a written informed consent to participate.

Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed as means ± standard deviations. Means were compared using t tests, whereas nonparametric tests were used for data that were not normally distributed. Correlations were performed using Spearman correlations. A P < 0.05 was considered significant. SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) was used for statistical analyses. The primary outcome was the presence/absence of TE. The primary variables for comparison were reflux parameters obtained by pH-MII testing.

RESULTS

A total of 27 patients were included in the study, and 629 teeth were examined. Subject characteristics are described in Table 1. The mean age of patients was 8.6 ± 4.7 years. A

![Figure 1. Maxillary dentition of patient with teeth erosions. Arrows depict level 1 or mild erosion with shallow "moon crater," level 2 or moderate erosion with deep "moon crater," and level 3 or severe erosion with pulpal exposure.](image-url)
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The presence of a higher acid burden in patients with TE is consistent with several prior adult studies (4–6,10,11). Moazzed et al (11) showed that adults with GERD (based on symptoms and pH-metry) had a greater frequency of TE on all the surfaces of their teeth than patients without GERD (P < 0.001). Similarly, Gregory-Head et al (10) noted that patients with GERD (based on pH-metry) had a higher Tooth wear index than those without GERD (P = 0.004). We further demonstrated, as noted in Table 2, that there was a significant positive correlation between percentage proximal acid reflux and total erosions, which is consistent with the mechanism for reflux causing TE.

Studies in children using pH-metry have had mixed results. This is highlighted in a study by Wild et al (8), in which 59 children with symptoms of GERD were evaluated with pH-metry. Symptomatic children had a higher number of TE than asymptomatic children (number of erosions per tooth 0.19 vs 0.11, P = 0.017), but there was no difference in TE in patients with positive (percentage of time pH <4, 9.9 ± 15.2%) versus negative (percentage of time pH <4, 1.5 ± 0.9%) pH testing. Farahmand et al compared patients with evidence of GERD on questionnaire, endoscopy, or pH-metry, with controls; GERD patients were found to have a significantly higher prevalence of TE compared with controls (prevalence of TE in GERD vs controls 98.1% vs 19%, P < 0.0001) (4). Dahshan et al (12) studied patients undergoing endoscopy for suspected GERD and found that 83.3% of patients with endoscopic evidence of GERD had TE. Other studies using clinical history (6) or questionnaires (5) to diagnose GERD also found a positive correlation between GERD and TE. Whether there was a relation between nonacid reflux, defined as refluxate with a pH >4, and TE was not known; therefore, we tested the hypothesis that nonacid reflux may account for TE in children. Our study, however, demonstrated that acid and nonacid reflux, is associated with TE. Interestingly, there was a clear discrepancy between pH results and impedance results. When this occurs, it is either because there is poor clearance of acid reflux episodes (ie, there is a smaller numbers of episodes but they stay in the esophagus for a longer time) or because there are more pH-only episodes, episodes which are detected by the pH sensor but not by the impedance sensors. In this case, as shown in Table 3, the higher acid exposure is being driven by high number of pH-only episodes, which is common in pediatrics and makes this study an important addition beyond the existing adult studies (16,27). Based on this study that suggests the nonacid reflux may not be a big contributor to erosions and recognizing the time-consuming nature of manual pH-MII reading and interpretation, pH-metry may be adequate for the evaluation of GERD in patients with suspected reflux-related TE.

Some authors have described a progressive pattern of TE with gastric acid with the involvement of palatal surface of anterior maxillary teeth first, followed by the involvement of occlusal surfaces of molars and premolars, with relative sparing of the labial

| TABLE 2. Correlation between number of TEs and reflux parameters as R (P values) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total no. reflux episodes       | 0.23 (0.24)     | 0.06 (0.75)     | 0.41 (0.04)     | 0.37 (0.06)     | 0.39 (0.05)     |
| No. acid reflux episodes        | 0.44 (0.02)     | 0.27 (0.17)     | 0.33 (0.10)     | 0.28 (0.16)     | 0.31 (0.12)     |
| No. nonacid reflux episodes     | −0.09 (0.67)    | 0.20 (0.25)     | 0.13 (0.51)     | 0.09 (0.66)     | 0.07 (0.72)     |
| Distal acid reflux, %           | 0.44 (0.02)     | 0.35 (0.07)     | 0.30 (0.12)     | 0.26 (0.18)     | 0.33 (0.09)     |
| Proximal acid reflux, %         | 0.38 (0.05)     | 0.28 (0.16)     | 0.27 (0.17)     | 0.15 (0.30)     | 0.31 (0.12)     |
| Distal nonacid reflux, %        | −0.07 (0.80)    | −0.04 (0.83)    | 0.12 (0.56)     | 0.09 (0.53)     | 0.05 (0.80)     |
| Proximal nonacid reflux, %      | −0.11 (0.59)    | 0.14 (0.49)     | 0.09 (0.65)     | 0.07 (0.72)     | 0.03 (0.90)     |
| Reflux index                    | 0.54 (0.004)    | 0.14 (0.50)     | 0.46 (0.02)     | 0.37 (0.06)     | 0.40 (0.04)     |

Bold P values are significant. TE = tooth erosion.
surface of maxillary teeth and mandibular teeth until advanced stages of erosion (28,29). Other studies did not find a distinctive erosion pattern with gastric acid–induced erosions (30). We found a significant association between acid burden and TE of only the posterior and mandibular teeth, which may be indicative of long-standing acid-related enamel damage. It is interesting to note that the GERD did not seem to significantly affect the anterior or maxillary dentition because the flow of refluxed acid first contacts the posterior dentition and likely remains there longer than the anterior or maxillary teeth. Nevertheless, if we had had a larger sample size, the association may have included the maxillary and anterior dentition because some of their $P$ values approached statistical significance (Table 2).

In addition to the role of GER, it is well known that enamel damage can be caused by acidic foods and drinks, and by mechanical trauma such as with bruxism. In the present study, these risk factors were present in equal measure among patients with and without TE, thus eliminating potential confounding of the results by these risk factors.

The prevalence of TE in our study (37%) is consistent with prior studies. A range of prevalence of 10% to >80% has been reported in healthy children in prior studies (31–33) depending on study methodology, median age of the sample (prevalence increases with age), sample size, erosion indices used, and lack of control for confounding variables such as diet and bruxism. The relatively high prevalence of TE in our study in patients taking acid suppression therapy raises questions regarding the effectiveness of acid suppression in children and the presence of other contributory factors. The preponderance of the TE, however, may have taken place before both diagnosis and the initiation of therapy. It has been shown that adults with a positive pH-metry had amelioration of their symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in children.

The study has a few limitations. All of our subjects were referred for pH-MII testing for suspected GERD and may not be representative of all pediatric patients. In addition, we did not have information on the baseline prevalence and severity of TE in our patients before initiation of acid suppression and hence cannot comment on whether acid suppression modified the appearance of erosions. We can, however, conclude that TEs are common, even in patients taking acid suppression.

Future studies are needed to determine the impact of acid suppression on reducing the severity of TE in children. Data from prospective longitudinal studies are needed to determine the optimal dose and duration of acid suppression to treat TE. Because the naked eye is limited in its ability to discern subtle enamel changes, more sophisticated visualization modalities may be helpful in appreciating even minute enamel changes after starting acid suppression.
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