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OBJECTIVES: We sought to develop an international consensus on the definition of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) in the pediatric population.

METHODS: Using the Delphi process, a set of statements was developed and voted on by an international panel of eight pediatric gastroenterologists. Statements were based on systematic literature searches using Medline, EMBASE, and CINAHL. Voting was conducted using a six-point scale, with consensus defined a priori as agreement in 75% of the group. The strength of each statement was assessed using the GRADE system.

RESULTS: There were four rounds of voting. In the final vote, consensus was reached on 98% of the 59 statements. In this vote, 95% of the statements were accepted by seven of eight voters. Consensus items of particular note are: (i) GERD is present when reflux of gastric contents causes troublesome symptoms and/or complications, but this definition is complicated by unreliable reporting of symptoms in children under the age of ~8 years; (ii) histology has limited use in establishing or excluding a diagnosis of GERD; its primary role is to exclude other conditions; (iii) Barrett’s esophagus should be defined as esophageal metaplasia that is intestinal metaplasia, positive or negative; and (iv) extraesophageal conditions may be associated with GERD, but for most of these conditions causality remains to be established.

CONCLUSIONS: The consensus statements that comprise the Definition of GERD in the Pediatric Population were developed through a rigorous process. These statements are intended to be used for the development of future clinical practice guidelines and as a basis for clinical trials.
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INTRODUCTION
The Montreal Definition of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) was developed as an international consensus document based on evidence reviewed from studies that were undertaken in adults (1). These guidelines are now being used for setting research priorities and as a basis for patient management guidelines in many parts of the world. However, in recognition of the special needs of the pediatric population, the work of this group (1) was limited to adults. A variety of health care practitioners encounter GERD in the pediatric age group, comprised of, infants, children, and adolescents. In a recent survey of the knowledge, attitudes and
practice styles of pediatric health care providers, general pediatricians and subspecialists reported that a variety of terms and definitions of GERD are employed both in literature and in daily practice (2). This variety and inconsistent nomenclature contributes to wide variations in patient management. In addition, the lack of clear definitions of GERD and its manifestations in infants, children, and adolescents leads to confusion for the clinician, both when interpreting clinical trial literature and when trying to understand how to employ the available diagnostic tests. Therefore, there is a need to establish a uniform definition of GERD that could be used in these age groups. The collaborative updating of GERD guidelines by the North American and European Societies for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, also highlights the need to develop internationally accepted criteria and support recommendations with a systematic review (3). The Montreal Definition of GERD, and the process used to develop it, provided a conceptual framework on which the definition of reflux disease for use in the pediatric setting is based.

Thus, the aim of this process was to develop an international consensus regarding the definition and classification of GERD in pediatrics. This is different from the GERD guidelines, which provide management recommendations. These consensus statements are intended to be used for the development of future clinical practice guidelines and as a basis for clinical trials, which may include patient- and surrogate-reported GERD-related outcomes, and to support the improved management of GERD in pediatric patients.

**METHODS**

A modified Delphi technique, analogous to that used in developing the Montreal Definition of GERD in adults (1), was used to develop statements for the definition of GERD in the pediatric population. The main steps in this process were as follows: (i) selection of an international consensus group; (ii) development of draft statements; (iii) systematic literature review to identify and critically evaluate the evidence relevant to each of the statements; and (iv) anonymous voting, discussion, and repeated anonymous voting by the consensus group on a series of iterations of each of the draft statements. A non-voting liaison (NV), an adult gastroenterologist who was the Chair of the Montreal consensus committee, provided perspectives from the Montreal process and issues relevant to adults. In addition, he reviewed materials for statements and provided input for the paper revisions.

The group members agreed that it was preferable to apply adult definitions (1), whenever relevant, in order to prevent confusion over conflicting terminologies. However, it was recognized that differences between pediatric patients and adults, and within the pediatric age group itself (infants, children, and adolescents), make age-specific definitions necessary. Accordingly, three age groups were employed comprising: newborns and infants (0–12 months), toddlers and children (1–10 years), and adolescents (11–18 years).

**Selection of the consensus group**

A non-voting Chair (PMS) led the consensus group. Members of the group were selected by the Chair based on the following criteria: recognized expertise in GERD in pediatrics as evidenced by relevant peer-reviewed publications, research activities, participation in national or regional activities, and expertise in the development of clinical practice guidelines. The consensus group choice was also influenced by the need for international representation and a diversity of views and expertise in the field.

**Systematic searches of the biomedical literature**

Relevant English language studies on humans published from January 1980 to December 2007 were identified through systematic searches of Medline, EMBASE, and CINAHL. The Chair formulated numerous search strings (available on request), reviewed the search results, and selected articles for further review. Individual group members contributed further literature searches. Articles were added during the process, and distributed to all members of the consensus group.

**Grades of evidence**

The strength of evidence was assessed using the GRADE system (4), which is as follows:

- **High**: Further research is unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.
- **Moderate**: Further research is most likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.
- **Low**: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.
- **Very low**: Any estimate of effect is uncertain.

The designation “not applicable” was employed for situations, in which these grades of evidence were not relevant for a particular statement.

A preliminary grade of evidence was assigned to each statement before the final iteration. Each was reviewed by the Committee after the final vote, and again in drafts of the paper.

**Voting on consensus statements**

The group voted on four iterations of each of the consensus statements. Between each of the four votes, statements were revised based on feedback from the group, outside experts (a family doctor, academic general pediatrician, neonatologist, pediatric pulmonologist, pediatric otolaryngologists, and pediatric general surgeons), and further critical review of the available literature. Additional statements were added on pediatric topics that had not been addressed earlier, particularly those conditions which have signs and/or symptoms that are similar to, or could be confused with GERD, such as food allergy. The final vote was held at a face-to-face meeting, which included extended discussion time. Voting was anonymous, conducted using electronic touch pads, and voting percentages were shown on-screen after each vote. A six-point scale was used: (i) agree strongly (A+), (ii) agree moderately (A), (iii) just
agree (A–), (iv) just disagree (D–), (v) disagree moderately (D), and (vi) disagree strongly (D+).

Agreement with the statement (the sum of voting for A+, A, or A–) by three-quarters (i.e., ≥75%) of the voting members was defined a priori as consensus. The level of agreement in the final vote that was given for each statement, expressed as percentages, is shown in Table 1.

**Workshop organization and funding sources**

Two face-to-face workshops were organized and supported financially by a third party, INSINC Consulting (Guelph, ON), using an unrestricted grant from AstraZeneca Research and Development (Mölndal, Sweden). AstraZeneca had no input into the content and conduct of the workshops nor into the development of the statements and this paper. Dr Catherine Henderson (Oxford PharmaGenesis Ltd, Oxford, UK) managed the literature database, prepared minutes from the face-to-face meetings, and assimilated written commentaries contributed by each consensus group member into a single document, which she copyedited. On the basis of this document, the Chair prepared a first draft of the paper, which was revised through several iterations by one member of the consensus group (EH), with input from the committee members. The Chair and committee members created the content of the paper, for which they are fully and solely responsible.

Disclosures of potential conflict of interest of committee members were documented before the first meeting of the committee, and distributed to all the members. Similar to the Montreal process, the goal of the endeavor was to establish definitions of disease, not to advocate for or against any treatment or medical device (5).

The major points emerging from this initiative were presented in a poster forum at a symposium at the Third World Congress of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition held at Iguazu Falls, Brazil in August 2008.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Overview of the voting on statements**

In this paper, Figure 1 shows an overview of the statement development process and the voting results. For the first vote, 62 statements were presented, based on the 50 Montreal Definition statements (1) and 12 additional statements with particular pediatric relevance proposed by the Chair. Consensus was reached on 47 (76%) of the 62 statements on the initial vote.

For the second vote, the number of statements increased substantially, to 117, mainly because of the group’s decision to vote on many of the statements separately for each of three pediatric age groups (newborns and infants, toddlers and children, and adolescents). Consensus was reached for 78 (67%) of 117 statements.

For the third round of voting, 86 statements were considered, with the group reaching consensus on 57 (66%). In the final vote, there were 59 statements: consensus was reached for 98% (58) and an agreement by more than 87% of the voting group was attained for 95% (56). The strength of agreement in the final vote is shown in Table 1.

**A GLOBAL DEFINITION OF GERD IN THE PEDIATRIC POPULATION: STATEMENTS**

1. GERD in pediatric patients is present when reflux of gastric contents is the cause of troublesome symptoms and/or complications.

Agree: 100% (A+, 87.5%; A, 12.5%; Grade of evidence: not applicable).

The approach, adopted in the Montreal Definition of GERD in adults, was to use a patient-centered, symptom-based definition of the point at which the symptoms become sufficiently troublesome, so as to have a measurable impact on the quality of life of the patient (1). This approach also applies to children, but with several caveats. Child development and communicative
Definition of GERD in Children

2. Symptoms of GERD vary by age.
Agree: 100% (A+, 87.5%; A−, 12.5%; Grade: high).

In adults, heartburn and regurgitation are the characteristic symptoms of GERD (1), and the same is true for older children and adolescents (10,11). In infants, the issue is more complicated. During the validation of a GERD questionnaire diagnostic score, differences were identified in the prevalence of regurgitation, food refusal, and crying between that of a healthy cohort and infants with abnormal esophageal pH studies and/or abnormal biopsies (12). However, the lack of response of these symptoms to proton pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy contrasts with their responses to non-pharmacologic therapy, raising questions about the acid mediation of these symptoms and whether they do, in fact, represent acid reflux (13,14). Accordingly, a symptom-based diagnosis of GERD in infants remains a problem.

Beyond infancy, in one study of 1 to 17 year olds, cough, anorexia/food refusal, and regurgitation/vomiting were more severe in children who were 1–5 years of age, compared with that in older children (10). In this study, toddlers and young children (1–6 years) tended to present with food refusal, regurgitation, and abdominal pain. In contrast, the predominant symptoms in older children (6–17 years) are regurgitation or vomiting, cough and epigastric pain, or heartburn (10,15). The older the child, the more heartburn and regurgitation become predominant presenting symptoms (10,11). Overall, for 1 to 11 year olds, there are relatively few data on presenting symptoms, and no standardized definitions for reporting (10). For example, some studies allow reporting of abdominal pain, or epigastric pain, or both (10,15,16).

The variability of the GERD symptoms and signs in the pediatric age groups requires further development of age-based definitions, "gold standards," and the validation of symptom-based questionnaires.

3. Symptoms due to gastroesophageal reflux (GER) are troublesome when they have an adverse effect on the well-being of the pediatric patient.
Agree: 100% (A+, 12.5%; A, 75%; A−, 12.5%; Grade: not applicable).

4. The otherwise healthy newborns (age: 1–30 days) and infants (age: >30 days to <1 year) with reflux symptoms that are not troublesome and are without complications should not be diagnosed with GERD.
Agree: 87.5% (A+, 62.5%; A, 12.5%; A−, 12.5%; D−, 12.5%; Grade: not applicable).

5. Reflux symptoms that are not troublesome in toddlers and children (age: 1–10 years) should not be diagnosed as GERD.
Agree: 75% (A+, 37.5%; A, 37.5%; D−, 12.5%; D, 12.5%; Grade: not applicable).

6. Reflux symptoms that are not troublesome in adolescents (age: 11–17 years) should not be diagnosed as GERD.
Agree: 87.5% (A+, 50%; A, 37.5%; D−, 12.5%; Grade: not applicable).

With regard to Statement 4, up to 70% of completely healthy newborns and infants have regurgitation that is physiologic, resolving without intervention in 95% of the individuals by 12–14 months of age (17–19). In one questionnaire-based study of healthy infants seen at routine office visits (17), daily regurgitation peaked at 67% of the infants at 4 months of age, and decreased to 21% at 7 months and to 5% at 10–12 months. The regurgitation of the most affected subgroup of those infants—those with the most frequent regurgitation (more than four episodes daily)—peaked at a similar age and was resolved similarly. Regurgitation described as "a problem" (possibly similar to "troublesome") by a parent peaked at 6 months (23%) and decreased to 14% at 7 months of age.

Crying is also common in unselected infants; its average daily duration peaks in the second month of life at 2–2.5 h per day, decreasing thereafter (20,21). Among 1- to 3-month-old infants, the mean duration of crying is 3 h per day (median: <1.5 h per day), suggesting that there is a subgroup with rather prolonged crying. From 4 to 12 months of age, the duration of crying remains fairly constant, at a mean of ~1 h per day (median: ~0.5 h). Regarding the subgroup of unselected infants with more prolonged daily crying, one study reported that crying ≥3 h per day occurred in 29% of infants during the first 3 months of life, but decreased to occur in <10% of 3- to 12-month-old infants.
7. Regurgitation in pediatrics is defined as the passage of refluxed contents into the pharynx, mouth, or from the mouth.

Agree: 100% (A +, 12.5%; A, 87.5%; Grade: not applicable).

8. Bilious vomiting should not be diagnosed as GERD.

Agree: 100% (A +, 75%; A, 12.5%; A −, 12.5%; Grade: high).

Regurgitation occurs when relaxation of the lower esophageal sphincter allows the retrograde movement of gastric contents into the esophagus and beyond. Regurgitation is distinguished from vomiting physiologically by the absence of (i) a central nervous system emetic reflex, (ii) retrograde upper intestinal contractions, (iii) nausea, and (iv) retching (25). Regurgitation is generally characterized as effortless and non-projectile, although it may be forceful in infants (26). For this reason, the Montreal Definition statement was amended for pediatrics to include the ejection of refluxate from the mouth. Caregivers and some practitioners use other terms, such as “spitting-up,” “possetting,” and “spilling,” which are covered by this definition of regurgitation. Bilious vomiting is an alarm signal that warrants further investigations to rule out anatomic abnormalities, such as intestinal malrotation, or acute illnesses causing intestinal obstruction.

9. Regurgitation is a characteristic symptom of reflux in infants, but is neither necessary nor sufficient for a diagnosis of GERD, because it is not sensitive or specific.

Agree: 100% (A +, 62.5%; A, 37.5%; Grade: high).

The specificity of regurgitation for diagnosing GERD is hampered by the frequency of its occurrence in normal infants (see the commentary under Statement 4) (17,18), and by difficulties in distinguishing it from vomiting and a myriad of conditions that cause vomiting in infants. Quantitatively, more frequent regurgitation may predict GERD developing subsequently in some infants. In a prospective cohort study (18), infants regurgitating on 90 days or more during the first 2 years of life (“frequent spilling”) were more likely than those with no spilling to have reflux symptoms of heartburn, vomiting, or acid regurgitation when followed-up at ~9 years of age. Another study showed quantitative differences in regurgitation between biopsy–esophageal pH-positive and -negative infants (12). Correspondingly, regurgitation improved the specificity of a GERD diagnosis in infants with excessive crying, when a 24-h pH monitoring was used as a gold standard (27–29). Although such quantitative parameters are alone insufficient for either establishing or excluding the diagnosis of GERD in an individual patient, quantification and clustering of regurgitation with other symptoms has been shown to improve diagnostic sensitivity and specificity, as reflected by the I-GERQ (Infant Gastroesophageal Reflux Questionnaire) scores (12). Further revision and validation of this instrument showed a good evaluative performance by validated translations in multiple languages for the I-GERQ-R (I-GERQ-Revised) score (30).
Persisting issues regarding such a symptom-based diagnosis in infants include replication of diagnostic validity by further studies using objective gold standards, and the use of a diseased/symptomatic, but non-GERD, control group. One study was unable to replicate the original diagnostic validity of the I-GERQ score, but the questionnaire had been modified and translated independently before use. In addition, histology was employed in only a minority of subjects and the methods used were not validated for reliability (31). Application of invasive gold standards to infants, particularly those in a control group, remains challenging, augmenting the elusiveness of a symptom-based GERD diagnosis in infants.

10. Symptoms of GERD, particularly in infants, may be indistinguishable from those of food allergy.

\textbf{Agree: 100}\% (\textit{A} +, 62.5\%; \textit{A}, 25\%; \textit{A} –, 12.5\%; Grade: high).

In infants, GERD and milk protein (cow or soy) allergy may both manifest as regurgitation or as vomiting; crying, fussing, or irritability related to food intake; or as failure to thrive (32). Therefore, distinguishing between GERD and milk protein allergy is difficult based on clinical presentations alone (33,34). Milk protein allergy and GERD may coexist, and instituting a protein hydrolysate diet may resolve symptoms that are suggestive of GERD (13,34,35).

11. In clinical practice, adolescents are generally able to describe specific GERD symptoms and to determine whether those symptoms are troublesome.

\textbf{Agree: 100}\% (\textit{A} +, 62.5\%; \textit{A}, 37.5\%; Grade: low).

Neurologically intact older children and adolescents are generally able to describe their symptoms and to determine whether reflux symptoms are troublesome. A caveat regarding unforthcoming adolescents is mentioned in the commentary pertaining to Statements 3–6 above. In a survey comparing reflux symptoms reported by adolescents with reports by their parents, 5.2% of the 10 to 17 year olds described having heartburn and 8.2% reported acid regurgitation (36). In contrast, parents reported decreased rates of the same symptoms in their children (3.5 and 1.4%, respectively). This discrepancy indicates the importance of self-reporting in this age group, although it could also indicate suggestibility.

12. Pediatric population-based studies of reflux symptoms are insufficient and are a priority for further research.

\textbf{Agree: 100}\% (\textit{A} +, 75\%; \textit{A}, 25\%; Grade: not applicable).

A number of studies give an indication of the prevalence of GERD in the pediatric population, but various methodologies and definitions have been used. Using a primary care database from the United Kingdom, the estimated incidence of GERD among 2 to 19 year olds was 0.47 and 0.77 per 1,000 person-years in males and females, respectively (37). In another study, a review of primary and tertiary care medical records revealed an incidence of GERD of 0.9 per 1,000 person-years in infants and children < 5 years of age (38). In a study in the United States (11), of 1,286 adolescents who were 14–18 years of age, heartburn was reported by students in response to a questionnaire as occurring daily or “a few times a week” in 0.7 and 3.3%, respectively; regurgitation had a similar prevalence and dysphagia was slightly less common. However, no study has yet sampled a general pediatric population using a standardized definition of GERD. Therefore, robust population-based studies, based on the standard definitions of GERD are a priority area for future clinical research.

### CONDITIONS PREDISPOSING TO SEVERE, CHRONIC GERD

13. The pediatric patient with central nervous system impairment has an increased risk of GERD.

\textbf{Agree: 100}\% (\textit{A} +, 62.5\%; \textit{A}, 12.5\%; \textit{A} –, 25\%; Grade: high).

14. Esophageal atresia is associated with an increased risk of GERD.

\textbf{Agree: 100}\% (\textit{A} +, 75\%; \textit{A}, 12.5\%; \textit{A} –, 12.5\%; Grade: high).

15. Cystic fibrosis is associated with an increased risk of GERD.

\textbf{Agree: 100}\% (\textit{A} +, 75\%; \textit{A}, 12.5\%; \textit{A} –, 12.5\%; Grade: high).

Certain underlying disorders predispose pediatric patients to the most severe and chronic GERD, and its complications (Figure 2) (39–46). These include significant neurological impairment, such as cerebral palsy, genetic disorders, such as the Cornelia de Lange syndrome and Down’s syndrome, congenital esophageal abnormalities, such as repaired esophageal atresia or congenital diaphragmatic hernia, and chronic lung disease, such as cystic fibrosis.

Otherwise healthy children and adults with hiatal hernia or with a strong family history of GERD, Barrett’s esophagus, or esophageal adenocarcinoma also have a higher prevalence of chronic GERD with complications (40,47,48). Although pediatric data are scarce, in adults, obesity and incremental weight gain are also associated with a significantly higher prevalence and increased severity of GERD, Barrett’s esophagus, and esophageal adenocarcinoma (49,50).
The diagnostic validity of questionnaires for GERD symptoms remains problematic in the general absence of objective gold standards for the diagnosis of GERD, particularly at the younger ages. In the absence of established diagnostic validity, these instruments nonetheless can be used for reliable quantification of symptoms for history taking and for tracking symptoms over time by instruments for which evaluative validity (response of symptoms to treatment) has been established (8). Clearly, more work in this key area is required.

There is a compelling evidence that acid reflux is the most common cause of heartburn in adults (1). Weakly acidic reflux, non-acid reflux, gas, and duodenogastric reflux may also be causative in adults and children (53,54). Other causes of heartburn in adults and pediatric patients include eosinophilic esophagitis, functional heartburn, esophageal infections, and Crohn’s disease (55–57).

The Typical Reflux Syndrome in adults was defined based on symptoms; i.e., additional diagnostic testing is not routinely required (1). A symptom-based diagnosis of the Typical Reflex Syndrome can be made only in adolescents and children who are more than ~8 years of age and have the cognitive ability to reliably report symptoms.

Troublesome reflux-associated symptoms that are not accompanied by mucosal breaks are termed non-erosive reflux disease (NERD), in contrast to ERD (erosive reflux disease) (57,58). NERD comprises approximately two-thirds of adults with reflux disease (1). Compared with ERD, adults with NERD are more predominantly female, with a lower body mass index, a higher prevalence of functional gastrointestinal disorders and esophageal acid hypersensitivity, and a poorer response to PPIs. Adults with ERD more often have hiatal hernia and...
greater esophageal acid exposure, and esophageal dysmotility than those with NERD (55,57).

The diagnosis of NERD only applies to adolescents and children who have the cognitive ability to reliably report symptoms (i.e., those at least >8 years of age). However, there are few pediatric data on NERD compared with that on ERD with respect to presenting symptoms, prevalence, pathophysiology, and responses to therapy (10,52,59).

Various endoscopic mucosal changes have been reported in adults with NERD using magnification endoscopy. These include vascular injection, a villous-appearing mucosal surface, squamous islands below the Z-line, and red streaks (60). There is a paucity of data regarding such findings in children. A diagnosis of NERD cannot be made or ruled out by histology. (See also Statements 33 and 34 regarding histology.)

25. Epigastric pain in older children and adolescents can be a major symptom of GERD.  
Agree: 100% (A, 75%; A −, 25%; Grade: moderate).

In some studies, subjects were permitted to report either abdominal pain or epigastric pain (10,15), but these terms are neither sensitive nor specific for the diagnosis of GERD. Further research in this area, particularly in pediatric patients with symptoms referable to the upper abdomen, is warranted.

26. GERD in newborns and infants may be associated with sleep disturbances.  
Agree: 87.5% (A +, 25%; A, 25%; A −, 37.5%; D +, 12.5%; Grade: low).

27. GERD in toddlers and children may be associated with sleep disturbances.  
Agree: 100% (A +, 12.5%; A, 37.5%; A −, 50%; Grade: very low).

28. GERD in adolescents may be associated with sleep disturbances.  
Agree: 100% (A, 62.5%; A −, 37.5%; very low).

In a questionnaire-based study of >16,000 adults in five European countries, nocturnal GER was associated with obesity (body mass index >30), reactive airway symptoms, and snoring (61). In children, there are limited data that are currently available for supporting a relationship between GERD and sleep disturbances. A case report describes laryngospasm in an 8-year-old girl with asthma, who was awakened from sleep in respiratory distress and who had pH probe evidence of a drop in intraesophageal acid before the episodes of stridor (62). Otolaryngologists consider GERD as a common comorbid condition in children with Down’s syndrome who are referred for symptoms of upper airway obstruction, including obstructive sleep apnea (63). Additional studies are required for confirming a cause-and-effect relationship. Among 50 healthy infants with occasional regurgitation, acid reflux into the proximal esophagus was strongly associated with arousal from sleep, but it is unclear whether arousal led to reflux episodes or whether acid reflux caused sleep arousal (64). In children up to 36 months of age, there was a greater frequency of night-time awakening among 76 patients with abnormal pH studies, compared with 3,102 historical controls (65). In an uncontrolled study, disrupted sleep patterns in 22 infants with reflux seemed to improve with the use of a prokinetic agent (66). In summary, although GERD may be associated with sleep disturbance, a cause-and-effect relationship and the direction of any causality remain to be proven.

29. Physical exercise in toddlers and children may induce troublesome symptoms of GERD in individuals who have no or minimal symptoms at other times (exercise-induced reflux).  
Agree: 87.5% (A, 12.5%; A −, 75%; D −, 12.5%; Grade: very low).

30. Physical exercise in adolescents may induce troublesome symptoms of GERD in individuals who have no or minimal symptoms at other times (exercise-induced reflux).  
Agree: 100% (A +, 25%; A, 25%; A −, 50%; Grade: very low).

Exercise-induced GERD is well recognized in adults, and may be related to strain-induced reflux that is caused by increases in intra-abdominal pressure (1). Evidence for the existence of exercise-induced GERD in children is sparse and primarily anecdotal in nature. Cases of exercise-induced respiratory symptoms were reported to correlate with reflux in one study of 14 children without asthma and in the absence of typical GERD symptoms (67).

31. When assessing GERD, rumination should be distinguished from regurgitation.  
Agree: 100% (A +, 62.5%; A, 25%; A −, 12.5%; Grade: not applicable).

Rumination refers to the effortless regurgitation of recently ingested food into the mouth with subsequent mastication and re-swallowing of food. The rumination syndrome is increasingly recognized among older children, especially adolescent females, and is considered by some to lie within the spectrum of eating disorders (68). Features of rumination that distinguish it from regurgitation because of GERD include regurgitation that starts during eating or immediately after drinking even a cup of water. In addition, rumination is associated with an absence of pathologic reflux at night or when lying down, lack of response to prokinetics or acid suppression, and has a female preponderance (69,70).

Rumination is common in infants and children with neurological impairment, but it can also occur in subjects without obvious neurologic deficits. Infant rumination syndrome is a rare disorder that is characterized by voluntary, habitual regurgitation of stomach contents into the mouth for self-stimulation (71), and may be a sign of social deprivation. The current Rome III criteria of the rumination syndrome require that GERD be eliminated from the differential diagnosis (68).
SYNDROMES WITH ESOPHAGEAL INJURY

32. In pediatric patients, esophageal complications of GERD are reflux esophagitis, hemorrhage, stricture, Barrett’s esophagus, and, rarely, adenocarcinoma.
Agree: 100% (A+, 62.5%; A, 25%; A−, 12.5%; Grade: high).

These are well-recognized complications in children, as they are in adults (Figure 3). Erosive esophagitis occurs in more than one-third of pediatric-age patients with underlying GERD-promoting disorders, such as neurologic impairment or esophageal atresia (72). Erosive esophagitis is more prevalent and severe in these latter groups (Statements 13–15), as are stricture, Barrett’s esophagus, and adenocarcinoma.

Reflux esophagitis

33. Insufficient data exist for recommending histology as a tool to diagnose or to exclude GERD in children.
Agree: 87.5% (A+, 37.5%; A, 25%; A−, 25%; D+, 12.5%; Grade: not applicable).

34. A primary role for esophageal histology is to rule out other conditions in the differential diagnosis.
Agree: 100% (A+, 37.5%; A, 50%; A−, 12.5%; Grade: high).

Several variables influence the validity of histology as a diagnostic tool for reflux esophagitis (73,74). Sampling error is an intrinsic confounding variable, because reflux esophagitis is patchy in distribution. Additional variables that impact on reproducibility include lack of standardization of biopsy locations, techniques for mounting, orientation and cutting of tissue, choice of fixative, and interpretation of morphometric parameters. The following are the parameters of injury that are most commonly cited.

Hyperplasia of the basal cell layer and elongation of rete pegs. These are reactive changes not specific to GERD. For example, they may be present in eosinophilic esophagitis, Crohn’s disease, and infections (75). Moreover, they may be found in symptomatic or asymptomatic adults, with either normal or abnormal esophageal pH studies (76), and in asymptomatic adult volunteers within 2.5 cm of the manometric lower esophageal sphincter, and more proximally (77).

Among infants with esophagitis and reflux symptoms at baseline who became asymptomatic while receiving placebo in a pharmacotherapy study, these histologic changes remained present even after 1 year of follow-up, although it is unknown whether the resolution of symptoms is equivalent to the resolution of pathologic reflux (78). Aggregate findings (73) from several studies in adults with NERD showed papillomatosis present in 0–85% patients vs. that in 5–20% controls. For basal cell thickness, three controlled studies reported a higher prevalence in symptomatic individuals vs. that in controls (73), but in only one study was the difference statistically significant.
been resolved in all studies after treatment interventions (74). In 497 suction biopsies in symptomatic infants, 85% of the papillary measurements and 94% of the basal layer measurements were quantified as abnormal (80). Another study employing suction biopsies in infants showed a good separation among normal and three grades of morphometric esophagitis (81). Esophageal pH was not performed in either of these studies; thus, the relationship between this morphometric change and acid reflux remains unclear.

Eosinophils. Eosinophils have been reported in the esophageal mucosa in children said to have GERD (82), but this was in an era before the current general awareness of eosinophilic esophagitis and esophagitis because of allergy to milk or other proteins. It is now recognized that there is a considerable overlap between the histology of GERD, eosinophilic esophagitis (56,83), and food protein sensitivity (32,84,85). Eosinophils are found in the otherwise normal biopsies from asymmetric children and adults (75,86) and in infants with milk-protein allergy (32,84,85). In the above-cited study of suction biopsies obtained from infants with symptoms suggesting GERD (80), only 12% had any eosinophils, only 2% had >5 eosinophils per high power field, and none with completely normal morphometrics had any eosinophils. Before esophageal biopsy, these infants had been pretreated with 2 weeks of extensively hydrolyzed formula. Eosinophil density in children does not correlate with the severity of reflux by a pH study or by endoscopy (84,87–89). Even when present in adults with GERD, eosinophils do not add to the diagnostic sensitivity of other parameters (79). Esophageal eosinophils are also observed in Crohn’s disease and infections, such as cytomegalovirus, Herpes simplex, and Candida albicans (75).

Combinations. In several studies that consider the above parameters either alone or together for the diagnosis of GERD in children, a poor correlation between the overall esophageal histology and symptoms, endoscopic findings, or pH monitoring was reported (28,31,88). Reactive changes and eosinophils are also seen separately and together in children with food protein intolerance (32,75). These parameters are present in only ~67% of adults with NERD (73).

Dilated intercellular spaces (DIS). Also known as “intercellular edema” or “spongiosis,” dilated intercellular spaces (DIS) are a relatively newly studied parameter. DIS are present in 41–100% adults with NERD vs. that in 0–30% controls (73). DIS also occur in children (90), but in this study, the diagnosis of GERD was based on the other reactive changes described above, and features of the controls were unclear. In this study, DIS were also found in eosinophilic esophagitis, food allergy, and esophageal Candidiasis. DIS are present in symptomatic patients with normal esophageal pH (91) and in ultrastructural studies that they resolve after treatment with PPI, but placebo groups have not yet been evaluated (92). Furthermore, DIS have not been resolved in all studies after treatment interventions (74).

Another consideration is the adequacy of tissue available for analysis. For example, even in carefully performed studies that were carried out according to protocol, 7–12% of patients were excluded from further analysis because of the biopsy tissue that was not evaluable (79,80).

In general, all the above data were derived from studies in expert units, using careful protocol biopsy acquisition, mounting, fixation, and cutting techniques, as well as morphometric methods, including ultrastructural, evaluation. These methodologies vary from unit to unit. The methodologies and findings reported probably represent the best results obtainable, and cannot necessarily be extrapolated to daily clinical endoscopy and pathology practices in pediatrics using endoscopic biopsies and light microscopy.

The concept that microscopic injury is present in GERD is appealing and intuitive, and the parameters considered above occur more often in symptomatic patients with suspected NERD and GERD than in healthy controls. However, the changes are not specific to reflux. Therefore, at the present time, the main role for esophageal histology in clinical practice is to either diagnose or rule out other causes of esophagitis that have specific histologic findings, including: eosinophilic esophagitis, Barrett’s esophagus, Crohn’s disease, infection, and graft-versus-host disease (75).

35. Reflux esophagitis in pediatrics is defined endoscopically by visible breaks of the distal esophageal mucosa.
Agree: 62.5% (A+, 50%; A−, 12.5%; D, 12.5%; D+, 25%; Grade: not applicable).

In adults, there is strong evidence that visible breaks in the mucosa are the most reliable evidences of esophagitis (1). Overall, it was felt that the evidence in adults may be sufficient to recommend that this statement also applies to children. Erosions are also a well-recognized feature of esophagitis in children (51,72,93). The term “reflux esophagitis,” rather than “erosive esophagitis,” emphasizes that this statement addresses esophagitis caused by reflux, and not by other causes, such as forceful vomiting, Crohn’s disease, infection, pills, and caustic ingestion. Consensus was not reached for this statement, because some participants felt that esophagitis should also be defined by histology. However, the committee subsequently reviewed the histology evidence and determined that, as currently performed clinically, it does not have the utility for either diagnosing or ruling out reflux esophagitis (Statements 33 and 34 above).

36. When reflux-related erosions are present during endoscopy, the grade should be described according to one of the recognized classifications of erosive esophagitis.
Agree: 100% (A+, 50%; A, 50%; Grade: not applicable).

The presence and severity of reflux esophagitis determined at the time of diagnostic upper endoscopy informs clinical management decision-making, and allows assessment of
treatment outcomes. Endoscopic classifications are used to grade the severity of erosive disease, by the presence and extent of mucosal breaks (erosions). Endoscopic findings should be described in well-defined and reproducible terms, so that interobserver comparisons can be made. Although validated in adults, the endoscopic classification of Hetzel and Dent (94) has been the most commonly used in pediatric studies (51,93,95). The Los Angeles classification is widely used in adults (96) and is also employed in pediatric practice.

37. In otherwise healthy pediatric patients, reflux esophagitis may not be chronic or recurrent after treatment.

Agree: 100% (A+, 12.5%; A, 75%; A−, 12.5%; Grade: low).

38. Reflux esophagitis in pediatric patients with chronic neurologic impairment, repaired esophageal atresia, hiatal hernia, or chronic respiratory diseases is usually chronic and recurrent.

Agree: 87.5% (A+, 12.5%; A, 62.5%; A−, 12.5%; D, 12.5%; Grade: moderate).

In a double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled trial in 48 otherwise healthy children with erosive esophagitis healed by PPI, Boccia et al. (93) found that a relapse of reflux esophagitis up to 3 months after discontinuation of maintenance treatment occurred in just one child. Recurrence of relevant symptoms was also uncommon (<15%) during the 30-month follow-up period. Other than this study, there are limited data available regarding relapse rates in otherwise healthy children. In contrast, children with underlying disorders that predispose to severe GERD (Statements 13–15) have higher grades of erosive esophagitis than in the study by Boccia et al., and are more likely to have chronic, relapsing erosive esophagitis (40,95).

39. Although GER symptom frequency and intensity in pediatric patients correlate with the severity of mucosal injury, neither will accurately predict the severity of mucosal injury in the individual patient.

Agree: 100% (A+, 12.5%; A, 62.5%; A−, 25%; Grade: low).

In adults, the frequency and severity of GERD symptoms have a moderate correlation with the severity of mucosal injury (1). In a study of 129 patients (1–17 years of age) with GERD who underwent endoscopy and symptom evaluation (10), the prevalence and severity of anorexia/feed refusal was significantly greater in children with erosive esophagitis than in those with NERD. In contrast, for infants, symptoms do not reliably predict the presence of esophagitis (31,78). Currently, there is no way for accurately predicting the severity of mucosal injury in pediatric patients based on symptoms alone. It is of importance that mucosal injury was defined endoscopically in one study (10) and by histology in the others (31,78). This discrepancy illustrates the need for greater precision in the definition of GERD in pediatric practice.

40. A reflux stricture is defined as a persistent luminal narrowing of the esophagus caused by GERD in pediatric patients.

Agree: 100% (A+, 75%; A, 25%; Grade: not applicable).

41. The characteristic symptom of a stricture in pediatric patients is persistent troublesome dysphagia.

Agree: 100% (A+, 37.5%; A, 50%; A−, 12.5%; Grade: high).

42. Dysphagia in older children and adolescents is a perceived impairment of the passage of food from the mouth into the stomach.

Agree: 100% (A+, 50%; A, 37.5%; A−, 12.5%; Grade: not applicable).

43. Troublesome dysphagia is present when older children and adolescents need to alter eating patterns or report food impaction.

Agree: 100% (A+, 25%; A, 62.5%; A−, 12.5%; Grade: not applicable).

In a minority of pediatric patients, GERD leads to the narrowing of the esophageal lumen. This narrowing, because of edema or fibrosis, impedes the passage of food causing persistent dysphagia. Therefore, persistent, progressive, or troublesome dysphagia is a warning symptom for stricture of the esophagus and warrants additional investigation. It must be distinguished from the other causes of esophageal narrowing in pediatric patients, which are age related (97). Pediatric patients with eosinophilic esophagitis also present with dysphagia; so endoscopy with esophageal biopsies is indicated to determine the cause of the narrowing (56).

44. In the pediatric age group, Barrett’s esophagus mainly occurs in individuals with hiatal hernia, and in those with certain underlying disorders that predispose to severe GERD.

Agree: 100% (A+, 25%; A, 62.5%; A−, 12.5%; Grade: low).

45. The term “Endoscopically Suspected Esophageal Metaplasia” (ESEM) describes endoscopic findings consistent with Barrett’s esophagus that await histological confirmation.

Agree: 100% (A+, 25%; A, 75%; Grade: not applicable).

46. Documentation of esophagogastric landmarks together with multiple biopsies are necessary to characterize ESEM.

Agree: 87.5% (A+, 12.5%; A, 62.5%; A, 12.5%; D−, 12.5%; Grade: moderate).

47. When biopsies from ESEM show columnar epithelium, it should be called Barrett’s esophagus and the presence or absence of the intestinal metaplasia specified.

Agree: 100% (A+, 50%; A, 37.5%; A−, 12.5%; Grade: not applicable).
Statement 44 is supported by the commentary under Statements 13–15. Although the prevalence of Barrett’s esophagus is much decreased in children than adults, it does occur. For example, in one study, esophageal metaplasia was present in some 10% of children with severe chronic GERD, half of whom had goblet cell metaplasia (40). As in adults (1), in pediatric patients the term “Barrett’s esophagus” is variably interpreted (98,99) and, therefore, currently lacks the clarity needed for clinical and scientific communication. The term “endoscopically suspected esophageal metaplasia” acknowledges that the endoscopic appearance may not be diagnostic and requires histologic confirmation (1,100). The term “ESEM” also does not carry the same implications for life insurance or health insurance, or for surveillance as does the term “Barrett’s esophagus.”

To accurately identify ESEM, key endoscopic landmarks of the gastroesophageal junction should be documented (101) in centimeters from the teeth and, ideally, photographed (98,102). When marked inflammation or pus impair landmark identification, an endoscopy should be repeated after ~12 weeks of high-dose PPI treatment; this removes the exudative camouflage, thus allowing a more accurate identification of landmarks (103,104).

Multiple closely spaced biopsies are required to minimize sampling error, to allow characterization of the mucosa as purely gastric columnar, i.e., cardiac-type, or columnar with intestinal metaplasia, and to detect dysplasia (1,99). Four-quadrant biopsies every 1 cm for circumferential metaplastic segments is the most sensitive practical approach (1,105). This should include as many biopsies as possible at and immediately distal to the cephhalad-displaced Z-line, because the highest yield of goblet cell metaplasia is proximal, both in children and in adults (99,104,106,107).

It has been widely held that the sine qua non for a diagnosis of Barrett’s esophagus is the presence of specialized or intestinal type metaplasia; i.e., columnar mucosa containing goblet cells that stain for acid mucin with Alcian blue at pH 2.5 (98,104,108,109). To date, no child under the age of 5 years has been described with goblet cell metaplasia, and goblet cells increase in number over time (107,110). Thus, it is most likely that this advanced type of metaplasia takes years to develop. In contrast to the only with goblets“ approach, recent reports in adults (111) and children (99) showed that esophageal metaplasia can occur in the form of cardia-type columnar metaplasia without goblet cells. Including this concept was considered the most controversial topic of the Montreal group (1). One major reason for acceptance of the statement was the far-from-perfect sensitivity of even a rigorous biopsy protocol for the detection of intestinal-type metaplasia, let alone the less rigorous protocols used in routine clinical practice (101,112). Staining techniques and interpretation of biopsies also influence the sensitivity of detection of intestinal metaplasia (100,101). In addition, there is now some doubt whether only mucosa containing goblet cell metaplasia is premalignant (1). With the new terminology, when the term “Barrett’s esophagus” is used, it is essential to specify whether specialized intestinal metaplasia is present or absent.

EXTRAESOPHAGEAL SYNDROMES

48. Sandifer’s syndrome (torticollis) is a specific manifestation of GERD in pediatric patients. Agree: 100% (A, 100%; Grade: low).

Sandifer’s syndrome describes abnormal posturing (e.g., head tilt, torticollis) because of GERD in neurologically intact children (Figure 3) (113,114).

49. There is an insufficient evidence that GERD causes or exacerbates sinusitis, pulmonary fibrosis, pharyngitis, and serous otitis media in the pediatric population. Agree: 100% (A, 100%; Grade: low).

Data from adults supporting a link between GERD and these conditions are inconclusive (1). One large retrospective case–control study of hospital cases identified by the ICD (International Classification of Diseases) codes reported that compared with controls, children with GERD (and without neurological impairment) had a two-fold increased risk of sinusitis, laryngitis, pneumonia and bronchiectasis, and less otitis media (115). However, the criteria used for GERD case definitions were not clearly stated a priori and were variable. In addition, the findings could be the results of selection bias, because patients with the diagnosis of sinusitis, laryngitis, pneumonia, and bronchiectasis may well have been selectively admitted for investigation of GERD. Other studies in pediatric patients report an association between acid reflux and serous otitis media (116,117). Controlled treatment trials in pediatric patients with GERD and otolaryngologic manifestations, with sufficient cohort size, standard case definition and clearly defined outcomes, would be required to support a cause-and-effect relationship.

50. Chronic cough, chronic laryngitis, hoarseness, and asthma may be associated with GERD. Agree: 87.5% (A+, 25%; A, 37.5%; A−, 25%; D−, 12.5%; Grade: very low).

51. In the absence of heartburn or regurgitation, unexplained asthma is less likely to be related to GERD. Agree: 100% (A+, 12.5%; A, 75%; A−, 12.5%; Grade: low).

52. Chronic cough, chronic laryngitis, hoarseness, and asthma are multifactorial disease processes and acid reflux can be an aggravating cofactor. Agree: 87.5% (A+, 37.5%; A, 25%; A−, 25%; D−, 12.5%; Grade: very low).

Acid reflux, occurring either directly through aspiration or indirectly through neurally mediated bronchial constriction, has been implicated as a cause of asthma (1). One systematic review reported an association between GERD and asthma in
adults, but there is a paucity of data on the direction of causality (118). Several studies also describe a higher prevalence of GERD symptoms in older children and adolescents with asthma, compared with that in healthy controls (119,120). One study of 1,037 children followed from birth to 26 years of age for respiratory symptoms and lung function found an association between symptoms of GERD (heartburn and regurgitation) and asthma (OR, odds ratio: 3.2; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval: 1.6–6.4), wheeze (OR: 3.5; 95% CI: 1.7–7.2), or nocturnal cough (OR: 4.3; 95% CI: 2.1–8.7). However, this association was only found in patients with late-onset asthma (teenage and adult), and not in childhood-onset asthma (121).

The largest controlled trial of acid inhibition in selected adults with moderate to severe persistent asthma showed no improvement, except in those patients with nocturnal GER symptoms (122). Another large study in a similar adult population showed no improvement in either asthma symptoms or in pulmonary function, but did show a small decrease in both the number of asthma exacerbations and in oral corticosteroid use (123). A much smaller, double-blind placebo controlled trial in 38 children showed no benefit in using acid suppression (124), and a recent double-blind placebo controlled trial in infants showed no benefit of acid suppression for symptoms of wheezing (14). In contrast, an uncontrolled case series of selected older children with asthma and GERD reported that fundoplication or treatment with a PPI/prokinetic agent combination were both more effective in preventing exacerbations of asthma than treatment with a histamine-2 receptor antagonist (125). Thus, although there are data reporting an association of asthma and GER in both children and adults, data showing that GER causes asthma, or that treatment of GER relieves asthma symptoms, require corroboration and are probably relevant only to selected patient subgroups. If this is the case, methods of selecting and identifying such groups accurately are a priority.

Acid reflux has also been associated with chronic laryngeal symptoms in children and adults. Retrospective case series suggest that a proportion of children with either hoarseness or posterior laryngitis also have GER (126,127), but dubious criteria were applied for the diagnosis of reflux-associated laryngitis (128,129) and GERD. Pediatric treatment data are limited. Several recent meta-analyses of studies undertaken in adults indicate that acid inhibition does not have an effect on chronic laryngeal symptoms attributed to GERD (130–132). Therefore, data from such treatment trials do not provide support for a causal relationship between GERD and either laryngitis or hoarseness. Similarly, a Cochrane review reported that there is insufficient evidence to definitely conclude that treatment with potent acid suppression therapy is beneficial for cough associated with GERD in adults (133).

53. GERD may cause dental erosions in pediatric patients.

 Agree: 100% (A+, 12.5%; A, 37.5%; A−, 50%; Grade: low).

In children, a higher prevalence of dental erosions has been described in those with GERD, compared with healthy controls (134–136). However, another study comparing young adults (19–22 years of age) with and without GERD found no association between dental erosions and GERD (137). Instead, dental erosions were associated with the level of soft-drink consumption, regardless of the GERD status. However, a recent review of 17 studies concluded that children with GERD are at an increased risk of developing dental erosions in comparison with healthy subjects, similar to those with neurological impairment (138). The severity of dental erosions seems to be correlated with the presence of GERD symptoms, and also, at least in adults, with the severity of proximal esophageal or oral exposure to an acidic pH. Some authors recommend that inspection of the oral cavity in a search for dental erosions should be routine in patients with suspected GERD (138).

54. There is an association between GERD and bronchopulmonary dysplasia in neonates and infants, but the cause-and-effect relationship is uncertain.

 Agree: 100% (A+, 25%; A, 50%; A−, 25%; Grade: low).

Bronchopulmonary dysplasia, a chronic lung disease of infancy with varying degrees of alveolar growth arrest, airway branching abnormalities, and peribronchiolar fibrosis, is reported to be associated with GERD (139,140). However, a more recent study has not confirmed an association between the two conditions (141). As most studies have been cross-sectional or case–control in design, it remains to be precisely determined whether GERD is, in fact, a causal factor predisposing infants to the development of bronchopulmonary dysplasia, because of it, or both.

55. In premature infants, a relationship between GER and pathologic apnea and/or bradycardia has not been established.

 Agree: 100% (A+, 37.5%; A, 50%; A−, 12.5%; Grade: high).

56. Although reflux causes physiologic apnea, it causes pathologic apneic episodes in only a very small number of newborns and infants.

 Agree: 100% (A+, 37.5%; A, 37.5%; A−, 25%; Grade: moderate).

57. When reflux causes pathological apnea, the infant is more likely to be awake and the apnea is more likely to be obstructive in nature.


A causal relationship between reflux and apnea has been assumed for many years, especially in newborns and young infants. However, the GER–apnea association could simply relate to both conditions being common at this stage in life. Impedance and pH monitoring studies have both been used to show a relationship between short episodes of physiological apnea and reflux in infants. Overall, GER and apnea do not seem to be temporally related to “asymptomatic convalescent” preterm babies (142), despite strong physiological evidence that stimulation of laryngeal afferents elicits central apnea and...
laryngeal adduction (143). However, in a subpopulation of infants with neurodevelopmental compromise, there may be an increased incidence of both apnea and GER (144). As case reports indicate that in exceptional circumstances GERD may be related to pathologic apnea, many centers treat all infants presenting with apnea using anti-reflux therapies. In fact, the available data strongly suggest that apnea is unrelated to GER in most infants (145).

58. A diagnosis of an acute life-threatening event (ALTE) warrants consideration of causes other than GER.
Agree: 100% (A+, 25%; A, 50%; A−, 25%; Grade: high)

ALTEs are episodes of combinations of apnea, color change, change in muscle tone, choking, and gagging. GERD is a frequent diagnostic consideration in infants after an ALTE (146). However, compelling data are not available to reliably define the prevalence of GERD in ALTE. In fact, reflux of gastric acid seems to be related to ALTE in < 5% of infants with ALTE (147).

59. At present, no single diagnostic test can prove or exclude extraesophageal presentations of GERD in pediatrics.
Agree: 100% (A+, 62.5%; A, 37.5%; Grade: not applicable).

Clinicians employ laryngoscopy, bronchoscopy and alveolar lavage, endoscopy, esophageal and laryngeal biopsies, pH monitoring in the hypopharynx, and multi-channel intraluminal impedance monitoring to diagnose GERD in pediatric patients presenting with extraesophageal symptoms. However, none of these tools independently establishes the diagnosis of GERD with extraesophageal symptoms (148,149). Hypopharyngeal pH-metry has been evaluated in children with symptoms suggestive of extraesophageal manifestation of GERD. In a prospective study of 222 children (1 day to 16 years of age) divided into subgroups by symptoms (laryngeal, pulmonary, recurrent emesis, and non-respiratory), 78 had pharyngeal reflux in spite of normal distal esophageal pH tracings (150). Children with emesis, pulmonary symptoms, and laryngeal symptoms had more pharyngeal reflux episodes, compared with children with gastrointestinal-related symptoms. The same method was applied in another prospective study of 105 children with symptoms suggestive of GERD, aged 4 months to 12 years, but in this instance hypopharynx-pH-metry did not differentiate between children with and without abnormal esophageal pH tracings, irrespective of presenting clinical symptoms (149).

A blinded comparison of videomacrolaryngoscopy, laryngeal and esophageal biopsies, and dual pH-metry (of distal esophagus and hypopharynx) was performed in 39 consecutive children operated on for airway reconstruction. Upper probe pH did not correlate with any of the other assessed parameters (148). The definition of pathological hypopharyngeal reflux is uncertain, because pharyngeal reflux also occurs in healthy controls. The quantity of acid reflux that is needed to cause pathology of the larynx is unknown. The same issues probably also apply to multi-channel intraluminal impedance. So far, normative data from different pediatric age groups are not available (151). Therefore, additional carefully controlled studies are needed to define which diagnostic tool will best diagnose extraesophageal GERD.

CONCLUSIONS
This report is the first evidence-based, internationally represented initiative to develop a formal definition of GERD in the pediatric population. A separate initiative by a joint committee of the European (ESPGHAN) and the North American (NASPGHAN) pediatric gastroenterology societies is currently updating guidelines that address the diagnosis and management issues related to GERD.

This definition of GERD in the pediatric population also highlights similarities and differences between reflux-related diseases in the pediatric age group and in adults. For example, although a patient-centered approach to the definition of GERD is reasonable in adults and in neurologically intact adolescents and older children, there was consensus that children under ~ 8 years of age cannot reliably report relevant symptoms. Limitations to the definition of GERD symptoms for younger children and infants are because of language limitations, the necessity for surrogate reporting, and selection of gold standards for GERD diagnosis.

This current report also describes the conditions that place pediatric patients at the highest risk for chronic and severe GERD with complications. These conditions include neurologic impairment, repaired esophageal atresia, and cystic fibrosis, in addition to hiatal hernia, obesity, and a positive family history of GERD or its complications.

Historically, pediatric endoscopists have routinely taken biopsies from the normal-appearing distal esophagus, and diagnosed or ruled out GERD based on the histological findings. After review of the literature and extensive debate, there was consensus that histologic parameters similar to those that are currently used are non-specific reactive changes that are insufficiently sensitive or specific for the diagnosis of GERD. The consensus was that the current value of esophageal biopsy is to diagnose or rule out other conditions, including eosinophilic esophagitis, Barrett’s esophagus, infection, and Crohn’s disease.

The term “endoscopically suspected esophageal metaplasia” is proposed for endoscopic findings consistent with Barrett’s esophagus. These findings must be confirmed by detailed histology and the presence or absence of specified intestinal metaplasia. This is a significant change in approach, which is in accordance with the Montreal Definition of GERD in adults.

Clarification is also provided about the current state of evidence regarding an apparent association between GERD and a variety of extraesophageal symptoms. The Montreal consensus includes statements about reflux chest pain syndrome, but the rarity of ischemic heart disease in children made these statements unnecessary for the definition of GERD in the pediatric population.
Many areas of GERD in pediatrics suffer from a lack of sufficient high-level evidence. These include the need for symptom scores validated against objective evidence of GERD for various age groups, characterization of non-erosive disease, including the role of histology, population-based studies regarding the long-term outcomes of esophageal injury, and the role of reflux as a cause of extraesophageal disorders in pediatric patients. Prospective, multicenter studies with uniform collection, processing and evaluation criteria are critically needed.

It is intended that the definitions of GERD herein will provide consistency for use in clinical practice and studies in the various pediatric age groups. The definitions provide benchmarks for funding agencies, regulatory agencies and the pharmaceutical industry with respect to relevant research endeavors.
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