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Take Home Messages
• Medications used to treat pediatric conditions, including many GI illnesses, are frequently prescribed off-label.
• Providers may choose to prescribe off-label, such as
  – To lack of awareness that the product is off-label
  – to lack of approved alternatives
  – to prescribing in accordance with treatment guidelines.
• Pediatric studies conducted under current federal laws are generating much-needed data on the safety and effectiveness of medications used in pediatric patients.
• Development of pediatric age-appropriate formulations is truly needed.
Gaps and Opportunities: Long Time Lapse Between Initial Adult Label and Pediatric Label Updates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Lapse (in years)</th>
<th>Difference between Adult NDA and Pediatric Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998–2003</td>
<td>9.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2004–2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010–2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Analysis was completed using the data on initial adult NDA and FDA New Pediatric Labeling Information Database (pediatric label changes). Analysis was done using a 5% inflation index due to labeling data needing revision.

- On average, it takes 9 years from the time of a product’s approval for use in adults until the label is updated to include pediatric data.
- Off-label use occurs during this time period.

Explanation of Off-Label Use

- **Off-label** prescribing refers to use that is not included in or is disclaimed in the regulatory body approved product information (e.g. for a different indication, age group, dose, frequency or route).
- Prescribing an **unlicensed** medicine is when a medicine or dosage form of a medicine has not been evaluated nor approved by regulatory authorities for any purpose (e.g. extemporaneous preparation of a formulation for pediatric use).
- Up to 40-90% of pediatric inpatients worldwide receive at least one unapproved medicine during their hospitalization, with higher rates in younger and sicker patients. Use in community settings is less and most often associated with new medicines, younger ages, specialist treatment and low-use medicines.

Impact of Off-Label Use

- Pediatric off-label medication use even higher in neonatal intensive care units.
- In 2006, the World Health Assembly, the governing body for the World Health Organization (WHO), and WHO subcommittees for pediatric medications identified the need for approved medications and dosing data for pediatric patients.
- Some long-established and well accepted off-label uses have been shown to be either ineffective or harmful when prospectively evaluated in randomized controlled trials in the pediatric population, e.g. proton pump inhibitors. Further, evidence supporting their longer-term safety is still lacking despite widespread use.
Why Pediatric Off-Label Use Occurs

• There are multiple reasons why providers may prescribe drugs off-label:
  – Providers may not be aware that they are prescribing off-label, or may intentionally choose off-label treatments that are supported by widely accepted recommendations or treatment guidelines.
  – Providers may also prescribe drugs off-label because other on-label treatments have been ineffective, or because there is an established effect from that class of medications.
  – Providers might choose an off-label product based on their own experiences, or treatment recommendations.
  – Off-label prescribing may be necessary to provide a product in a pediatric-appropriate strength and formulation.

Regulatory Efforts in the US

• Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act (BPCA) and the Pediatric Research Equity Act (PREA), work together toward the goal of improving pediatric information in labeling.
• The 1997 Food and Drug Administration Modernization and Accountability Act provided incentives to encourage pediatric pharmacologic research. These incentives formed the basis of BPCA, which was initially signed into law in 2002.
• Under BPCA, pharmaceutical companies can be awarded an additional 6 months of exclusivity (market protection) by studying their product in pediatric patients as pre-specified by the FDA.

• Mandatory pediatric studies were required under the Pediatric Rule (1998), which, although invalidated in 2002, formed the basis for PREA, which was initially enacted in 2003.
• Under PREA, manufacturers of certain marketed products are required to conduct studies to provide sufficient data to support directions for pediatric use for the indications claimed in all relevant pediatric age groups.
• Of recent significance, both BPCA and PREA were made permanent and modified in 2012 under new legislation, the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act (FDASIA).
Regulatory Efforts in the US

- Currently under FDASIA in the US, pharmaceutical companies are required, in most circumstances, to create pediatric drug/biologic development programs before phase 3 (pivotal) adult efficacy and safety trials are underway.
- The goal of considering pediatric research relatively early in the development process is ultimately to improve children’s access to medicines that have been appropriately studied for the management of their diseases.

Impact of BPCA

- 2012: Institute of Medicine of the National Academies evaluated the impact of BPCA and PREA, concluded ‘Pediatric studies conducted under BPCA and PREA are yielding important information to guide clinical care for children’.
- Under BPCA and PREA, since 1998, there have been more than 460 pediatric labeling changes for medications used to treat a range of pediatric diseases.
- Findings from pediatric studies conducted under BPCA and PREA, including important negative or inconclusive results, are described in product labeling.
- Product labeling informs providers:
  - Approval status for a medication for a particular indication and whether product was studied in pediatric patients,
  - product was studied but not approved for lack of efficacy
  - unacceptable adverse events identified in pediatric patients.

- If pediatric studies are not conducted because of safety concerns, that determination also must be specified in labeling.
- The increased availability of public data can allow providers to more clearly understand the risks and benefits of using medications in specific situations.
- The 460 labeling changes are valuable advances in pediatric care. However, the products studied under BPCA and PREA are not necessarily the products with the most clinical significance or most commonly used off-label in pediatrics. For example, the top therapeutic classes in pediatrics, such as anti-infectives and anticonvulsants, are not the same as the top therapeutic classes in adults, such as cholesterol reducers and cytostatics.
Impact of BPCA

- Furthermore, although there were 460 pediatric labeling changes, pediatric gastrointestinal products, including treatments for viral hepatitis, gastroenterology made up only approximately 22 (5%) of the total products labeled under BPCA and PREA.
- Despite these advances under BPCA and PREA, there remains a paucity of pediatric gastroenterology specific data related to difficulty to enroll pediatric clinical trials and delays in execution of these trials.

Regulatory Efforts ex-US

- In France, a law (number 2011–2012, December 29, 2011) and a decree on the “Temporary Recommendations for Use” (decree number 2012–743, May 9, 2012) attempt to regulate off-label drug use.
- In France, drug companies are responsible for monitoring the reasons for which their product is prescribed, reporting off-label use, and educating health care providers to avoid off-label use. Additionally, an observation period may be created to assess the risks and benefits of an approved drug’s off-label uses.

The Problem in Pediatric GI

- Off-label prescribing in pediatrics tends to be higher among pediatric subspecialists, and the percentage of off-label gastrointestinal medications may be as high as 80% in the pediatric outpatient setting.
- The issues of off-label medication use in pediatric gastroenterology was published as part of the 30th anniversary issue of the Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition. Authors from Australia, Asia, Europe, and the United States described the need for approved products to treat inflammatory bowel disease, infant GER, and eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE).
The Majority of Drugs Used in Pediatric GI Are Off-Label

• Shah: “Most patients hospitalized at tertiary care pediatric institutions receive at least medication outside the terms of the Food and Drug Administration product license.”

• Off-label drug use was particularly noticeable with drugs targeting the CNS and drugs related to fluids, nutrients, and GI tract.

• High percentage of patients received off-label treatment with
  – metoclopramide
  – polyethylene glycol electrolyte solution
  – docusate
  – ondansetron.

Bozzano reported 80% of drugs used for gastrointestinal indications are used off label. Much of this off label use was associated with Miralax.

Expediting Drug Development

Background

• Longstanding FDA goal to facilitate and expedite development and review of new drugs to address unmet medical need for serious conditions

• Existing Programs
  – Subpart E regulations (1988)- speeding the availability of new therapies for serious conditions with unmet medical need, while maintaining safety and efficacy standards
  – Accelerated Approval Regulations (1992)
  – Fast Track (1997)
  – Priority Review (1992)
Regulation and Investigation: The FDA's Role In Advancing GI Innovation

- The Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act (FDASIA), signed into law on July 9, 2012, expands the FDA's authorities and strengthens the agency's ability to safeguard and advance public health by:
  - Promoting innovation to speed patient access to safe and effective products;
  - Increasing stakeholder involvement in FDA processes; and
  - Enhancing the safety of the drug supply chain
- Giving the authority to collect user fees from industry to fund reviews of innovator drugs, medical devices, generic drugs and biosimilar biological products

FDASIA gave FDA a new expedited drug development tool, known as the "breakthrough therapy" designation.

This new designation helps FDA assist drug developers to expedite the development and review of new drugs with preliminary clinical evidence that indicates the drug may offer a substantial improvement over available therapies for patients with serious or life-threatening diseases.

Expedited Programs for Serious Conditions — Drugs and Biologicals:

The Critical Path for Drug Development

Best access to safe and effective treatment is having an approved product on the market.

Pathway to An Approved Product
- How do we get there?
- What are the obstacles?
- How can a partnership among stakeholders facilitate achievement of this shared goal?
  - Assist in identification of clinically meaningful, measurable and interpretable endpoints
  - Assist in identifying acceptable designs for trials that can enroll AND answer key questions
  - Share a commitment to completion of a successful drug development program

Critical Partnerships
- Academic Experts
- Patients and Families
- Industry
- Regulatory Partners
Take Home Messages

- FDA and stakeholders can work together to achieve innovation and an appropriate balance between:
  - Providing access to promising drugs/biologics for patients with serious diseases or conditions when there is no comparable or satisfactory alternative therapy
  - Protecting patient safety
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